



MEDICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL WORKING PARTIES ON LEUKAEMIA IN ADULTS AND CHILDREN ACUTE MYELOID LEUKAEMIA TRIAL 15

PROTOCOL FOR PATIENTS AGED UNDER 60 (Trial Reference ISRCTN 17161961)

Through the use of an efficient factorial design, AML15 will evaluate several relevant therapeutic questions in acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) as defined by WHO. The trial is open to all patients aged less than 60 years, whether adults or children, and also to patients aged 60 years or over for whom intensive therapy is considered appropriate. At least 2500 patients will be recruited.

For patients who do not have the Acute Promyelocytic (APL) subtype, an induction randomisation will compare the standard ADE and DA regimens with FLAG-Ida. The role of Mylotarg will be evaluated in both induction and consolidation. A consolidation randomisation will compare MRC chemotherapy (MACE + MidAC) with high-dose Ara-C, at doses of either 1.5 g/m² or 3.0 g/m². The 4 versus 5 courses randomisation from AML12 will continue, but the fifth course will be Ara-C at a dose of 1.5 g/m². The role of allogeneic transplant, either standard or "mini", will be assessed in standard and poor risk patients. Poor risk patients, with resistant disease after Course 1 or adverse genetics, may either continue in AML15 or may be entered into the current NCRI high risk trial when available.

For adult patients with APL, the MRC intensive chemotherapy approach will be compared with the less intensive "Spanish" approach. APL patients will be eligible for the consolidation Mylotarg randomisation.

Children will only enter selected randomisations within the trial which are described in the Paediatric Variations section of the protocol.

There are about 700 cases of AML each year at ages 0-59 in the British Isles alone. About 400 adult patients and 100 children were entered annually into AML12, so with a continuation of accrual at this, or a higher level, clear evidence on the relative benefits of the therapeutic options being tested in AML15 will be obtained in just a few years. This information will contribute to the continuing improvement of the treatment available to many future patients with AML.

This protocol is intended to describe a Medical Research Council collaborative trial in acute myeloid leukaemia in adults and children, which is being undertaken by the NCRI Haematological Oncology Study Group under the sponsorship of the University of Wales College of Medicine, and to provide information about procedures for the entry, treatment and follow-up of patients. It is not intended that this protocol should be used as an aide-memoire or guide for the treatment of other patients. Every care has been taken in its drafting, but corrections or amendments may be necessary. Before entering patients into the trial, clinicians must ensure that the trial protocol has received clearance from their Local Research Ethics Committee. During the course of this 5-year trial, not all randomisation options will be open at all times and some additional options may be included by protocol amendment.

Clinicians are asked to read the whole protocol before commencing treatment

AML15 Protocol Flow Chart 1 - Trial Overview (Please refer to the back of the protocol for more detailed flow diagrams)

AML patients, other than APL



TRIAL MANAGEMENT GROUP

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS

Professor A K Burnett Department of Haematology University of Wales College of Medicine Heath Park Cardiff CF14 4XN Tel: 02920 742375 Fax: 02920 744655 Email: <u>burnettak@cardiff.ac.uk</u> Professor A H Goldstone Department of Haematology University College Hospital Gower Street London WC1E 6AU Tel: 0207 380 9983 Fax: 0207 380 9816 Email: anthony.goldstone@uclh.org Professor K Wheatley (Statistics and Data Management) University of Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit Park Grange 1 Somerset Road Birmingham B15 2RR Tel: 0121 687 2310 Fax: 0121 687 2313 Email: <u>k.wheatley@bham.ac.uk</u>

CLINICAL COORDINATORS

Dr D Milligan Department of Haematology Birmingham Heartlands Hospital Bordsley Green East Birmingham B9 5ST Tel: 0121 424 3699 / 2699 Fax: 0121 766 7530 Email: d.w.milligan@bham.ac.uk

Professor A Oakhill

(Paediatrics) Bristol Royal Hospital for Sick Children St Michael's Hill Bristol BS2 8BJ Tel: 0117 342 8044 Fax: 0117 342 8628 Email: anthony.oakhill@nildram.co.uk

Dr A G Prentice (Infection)

Department of Haematology Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust DCL Haematology Derriford Hospital, Level 7 Plymouth, PL6 8DH Tel: 01752 792398 Fax: 01752 792400 Email: archie.prentice@phnt.swest. nhs.uk Dr A Hunter Department of Haematology Leicester Royal Infirmary Infirmary Square Leicester LE1 5WH Tel: 0116 258 6602 Fax: 0116 258 5093 Email: ahunter@uhl.trent.nhs.uk

Dr A M Will (Paediatrics)

Royal Manchester Children's Hospital Hospital Road Pendlebury Manchester M27 4HA Tel: 0161 794 4696 Ext: 2245 Fax: 0161 727 2545 Email: andrew.will@cmmc.nhs.uk

Dr Brenda Gibson (Paediatrics)

Royal Hospital for Sick Children Yorkhill Glasgow G3 8SJ Tel: 0141 201 0675 Fax: 0141 201 0857 E-mail: brenda.gibson@yorkhill.scot.nhs.uk

Professor N H Russell (Transplantation)

Haematology Department City Hospital Hucknell Road Nottingham NG5 1PB Tel: 01159 627708 Fax: 01159 627742 Email: nigel.russell@nottingham.ac.uk

LABORATORY STUDIES

CYTOGENETICS (Adults*)

Mrs H Walker Department of Haematology and Blood Transfusion UCLH 2nd Floor 60 Whitfield Street London W1T 4EU Tel: 020 7387 9300 (Ext. 8519) Fax::020 7380 9911 Email: helen.walker@uclh.org

MORPHOLOGY (Adults)

Dr D Swirsky Institute of Pathology Algernon Firth Building Leeds General Infirmary Great George Street Leeds LS1 3EX Tel: 0113 392 6285 Fax: 0113 392 6286 Email: davids@pathology.leeds.ac.uk

MOLECULAR DIAGNOSIS AND MONITORING

Dr D Grimwade** Department of Medical and 8th Floor, Guy's Tower Guy's Hospital London SE1 9RT Tel: 0207 955 5000 Ext: 5585 Fax: 0207 955 8762 Email: david.grimwade@kcl.ac.uk Professor J A L Yin Department of Haematology Manchester Royal Infirmary Oxford Road Manchester M13 9WL Tel: 0161 276 4802 Fax: 0161 276 4814 Email: john.yin@cmmc.nhs.uk

CYTOGENETICS (Children)

Dr Christine Harrison

MP822 Duthie Building

Southampton SO16 6YD

Tel: 023 8079 8783

Fax: 023 8079 3839

Tremona Road

Mrs J Britton

Western Bank

Sheffield S20 2TH

Tel: 0114 271 7230

Fax: 0114 276 2289

Cancer Sciences Division

Southampton General Hospital

E-mail: Harrison@soton.ac.uk

MORPHOLOGY (Children)

Department of Haematology

Sheffield Children's Hospital

Email: jenny.britton@sch.nhs.uk

** All APL samples to be sent directly to tissue bank at University College (Helen Walker).

QUALITY OF LIFE

Dr M Watson Clinical Psychologist Royal Marsden Hospital Downs Road Sutton Surrey Tel: 0208 642 6011 Ext: 3006 Fax: 0208 661 3186 Email: maggie.watson@rmh.nthames. nhs.uk Dr A G Smith Haematology Department Royal South Hants Hospital Graham Road Southampton SO9 4PE Tel: 02380 825335 Fax: 02380 825338 Email: <u>Alastair.Smith@suht.swest.nhs.</u> <u>uk</u>

TISSUE ARCHIVE

Professor D Linch Department of Haematology University College and Middlesex School of Medicine 98 Chenies Mews London WC1E 6HX Tel: 0207 679 6221 Fax: 0207 679 6222 Email: <u>d.linch@ucl.ac.uk</u>

MYLOTARG ADVISOR

Dr Jonathan Kell Department of Haematology University of Wales College of Medicine Heath Park, Cardiff CF14 4XN Tel: 02920 748276 Fax: 02920 743439 Email: Jonathan.Kell@cardiffandvale. wales.nhs.uk

Mylotarg mobile 07766 333411

HEALTH ECONOMICS

Professor D Whynes School of Economics University of Nottingham Nottingham NG7 2RD Tel: 0115 951 5463 Fax: 0115 951 4159 Email: david.whynes@nottingham.ac.uk

Dr J H K Rees Department of Haematology Addenbrooke's Hospital Hills Road Cambridge CB2 1QL Tel: 01223 336836 (Direct) Tel: 01223 245151, Bleep 836 Fax: 01 223 336709 Email: jkr1000@cam.ac.uk

TRIAL OFFICE MANAGER

Ms Elizabeth Sellwood University of Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit Park Grange 1 Somerset Rd Edgbaston Birmingham B15 2RR Tel: 0121 687 2309 Fax: 0121 687 2313 Email: E.A.Sellwood@bham.ac.uk

TRIAL STATISTICIAN

Dr Robert Hills University of Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit Park Grange 1 Somerset Road, Edgbaston Birmingham B15 2RR Tel: 0121 687 2330 Fax: 0121 687 2313 Email: <u>R.K.Hills@bham.ac.uk</u>

TRIAL STATISTICIAN

Mrs Cassey Brookes University of Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit Park Grange 1 Somerset Rd, Edgbaston Birmingham B15 2RR Tel: 0121 687 2325 Fax: 0121 687 2313 Email: C.L.Toon@bham.ac.uk

ADMINISTRATION AND FOLLOW-UP

FREEPOST ADDRESS

University of Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit Park Grange 1 Somerset Road Birmingham B15 2RR Fax: 0121 687 2313 AML15 BIRMINGHAM CLINICAL TRIALS UNIT FREEPOST MID21289 1 SOMERSET ROAD BIRMINGHAM B15 2BR

Gerri Madden	Trial Administrator
Alex Furmston	Trial Administrator

0121 687 2321 0121 687 2322 G.A.Madden@bham.ac.uk A.T.Furmston@bham.ac.uk

Telephone randomisation availability: 0800 953 0274

(09.00-17.00 hours, Monday to Friday, except Bank Holidays)

Internet randomisations (24 hour): https://www.trials.bham.ac.uk/aml15

CONTENTS

	TRIAL PERSONNEL	i
1	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	1
2	OBJECTIVES	1
3	TRIAL DESIGN	2
4	JUSTIFICATION OF TRIAL DESIGN AND TREATMENT SCHEDULES	5
5	RANDOMISATION AVAILABILITY	13
6	REFERENCES	13
7	INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA	17
8	PROCEDURES FOR ENTRY INTO THE TRIAL AND DATA RECORDING	18
9	INDUCTION CHEMOTHERAPY: COURSES 1 AND 2	23
10	MYLOTARG THERAPY DURING INDUCTION	24
11	RISK GROUP ASSIGNMENT AND ASSESSMENT OF RESPONSE	25
12	SECOND RANDOMISATION	27
13	CONSOLIDATION CHEMOTHERAPY: COURSES 3 AND 4	29
14	MYLOTARG THERAPY DURING CONSOLIDATION	30
15	THIRD RANDOMISATION	30
16	STEM CELL TRANSPLANTATION	31
17	ACUTE PROMYELOCYTIC LEUKAEMIA	33
18	ARRANGEMENTS FOR MOLECULAR SCREENING & MINIMAL RESIDUAL DISEAS	SE
	MONITORING	38
19	MANAGEMENT OF HIGH RISK, REFRACTORY OR RELAPSED PATIENTS	40
20	SUPPORTIVE CARE	40
21	CNS TREATMENT	41
22	RELAPSE	42
23	MODIFICATIONS FOR CHILDREN	43
24	STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS	44
25	TRIAL GOVERNANCE AND ADVERSE EVENT REPORTING	47

APPENDICES:

A –	WHO classification of AML	48
В –	Preparation, administration and toxicity of drugs used in AML15	49
C –	Procedures for stem cell transplantation	55
D –	Play performance scale for children	58

1. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

The AML15 Trial Protocol has been approved by the Welsh Multicentre Research Ethics Committee (MREC) and must also be approved by the Local Research Ethics Committee (LREC) at each centre before patients are entered. A copy of a centre's LREC approval must be lodged with the Trial Office at BCTU before entry of patients can commence at that centre. Centres are required to go through a registration process with the Trial Office before recruitment is started.

The right of a patient to refuse to participate in the trial without giving reasons must be respected. After the patient has entered the trial, the clinician is free to give alternative treatment to that specified in the protocol at any stage if he/she feels it to be in the patient's best interest, and the reason for doing so should be recorded. Similarly, the patient must remain free to withdraw at any time from protocol treatment without giving reasons and without prejudicing any further treatment. All patients who come off protocol therapy for whatever reason will still need to remain within the study for the purposes of follow-up and data analysis.

The AML15 trial will be conducted in accordance with the Medical Research Council's Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice in Clinical Trials (a copy of these may be obtained from the MRC or from the Trial Office).

2. OBJECTIVES

The AML15 trial has two separate parts:

- i. For patients with acute myeloid leukaemia (AML), other than acute promyelocytic leukaemia (APL), as defined by the WHO Classification (2001) (Appendix A).
- ii. For patients with acute promyelocytic leukaemia (APL).

The objectives for each of these components are summarised below.

2.1 Therapeutic questions for patients with non-APL AML

For patients with acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) the aims of the AML15 trial are:

- To compare three induction schedules (namely **ADE**, **DA** and **FLAG-Ida**).
- To assess the value of Mylotarg during induction when used in combination with DA or FLAG-Ida).
- To compare the standard MRC consolidation chemotherapy (i.e. **MACE + MidAC**) versus **high-dose Ara-C**.
- For those allocated to high-dose Ara-C to compare high dose Ara-C during consolidation (see above) at two different doses (1.5 g/m² versus 3.0 g/m²).
- To assess the value of **Mylotarg** during **consolidation**.
- To compare **four** versus **five** courses of treatment in total (where the final course is Ara-C at a dose of 1.5 g/m²).

 In standard and poor risk adults and poor risk children, to evaluate, by means of a genetic randomisation (i.e. the presence or absence of a matched donor), the value of allogeneic stem cell transplantation (SCT), whether standard allogeneic (allo-SCT) or non-myeloablative "mini" allogeneic (mini-SCT).

2.2 Therapeutic questions for patients with APL

For patients with APL the aims of the AML15 trial are:

- In adults only to compare the MRC approach (i.e. four courses of intensive chemotherapy) with the Spanish approach (based on anthracyclines with maintenance therapy).
- In adults, and in due course children, to assess the value of **Mylotarg** during **consolidation** (i.e. with Course 3).

2.3 Endpoints

The main endpoints for each comparison will be:

- Complete remission (CR) achievement and reasons for failure (for induction questions).
- Duration of remission, relapse rates and deaths in first CR.
- Overall survival.
- Toxicity, both haematological and non-haematological, and quality of life (QoL).
- Supportive care requirements (and other aspects of health economics).

2.4 Subsidiary objectives

Blood and bone marrow will be required at diagnosis, during remission and at relapse to evaluate the therapeutic relevance of morphological, cytogenetic, molecular-genetic and immunophenotypic assessments, with particular respect to:

- The relevance of the presence of a cytogenetic abnormality in the bone marrow of patients in morphological remission.
- The relevance of the molecular detection of residual disease in patients in morphological remission.
- The prospective validation of the incidence and prognostic value of FLT3 mutations.
- The relevance of CD33 expression and resistance protein expression to the effectiveness of Mylotarg therapy.
- Future research questions.

3. TRIAL DESIGN

AML15 is a randomised controlled Phase III trial for patients with AML that uses a factorial design for maximum efficiency. The design may, at first sight, appear complicated. However, if the trial is broken down into separate sections, each phase is straightforward and should be readily understandable to both clinicians and patients:

AML (other than APL):

- A. Induction phase: Two randomisations (five arms in total)
- B. Initial consolidation phase: Two randomisations (3x2 factorial design)
- C. Late consolidation phase: One randomisation

APL:

- A. Induction phase: One randomisation (for adults only)
- B. Consolidation phase: One randomisation (currently for adults only)

Looked at this way, AML15 is no more complicated than AML12, to which over 400 consultants from about 200 hospitals contributed over 3400 patients.

3.1 AML (other than APL)

There are five randomised comparisons within the trial:

At diagnosis:	(i) ADE versus DA versus FLAG-Ida.(ii) Mylotarg versus not in induction (in the DA and FLAG-Ida arms only in adults).
Before Course 3:	(iii) MRC consolidation versus high-dose Ara-C (at two doses).(iv) Mylotarg versus not in consolidation.
After Course 4:	(v) 4 versus 5 courses of therapy in total.

In standard and poor risk adults and poor risk children, the role of standard allogeneic SCT will be assessed by means of a genetic randomisation (i.e. donor versus no donor comparison). In adults the role of 'mini' allogenic SCT will be assessed.

Full details of the rationale for these comparisons, progress through the trial and treatment can be found in the relevant sections of the protocol, but are summarised below (and in the flow diagrams at the front and back of the protocol):

- 1. At diagnosis: randomise between ADE, DA and FLAG-Ida as induction therapy, and also randomise to Mylotarg versus not (in the DA and FLAG-Ida arms only). The five main induction treatment arms will therefore be:
 - Arm A Two courses of ADE (with no Mylotarg)
 - Arm B Two courses of DA (with no Mylotarg)
 - Arm C Two courses of DA (with Mylotarg in Course 1)
 - Arm D Two courses of FLAG-Ida (with no Mylotarg)
 - Arm E Two courses of FLAG-Ida (with Mylotarg in Course 1)

Clinicians may elect to undertake only one or other of the two randomisations, though it is expected that most will undertake both at times when they are available (see Section 5 for full details of the options).

Children are not currently eligible for the Mylotarg randomisation and will only be randomised between ADE versus FLAG-Ida (Arm A versus Arm D).

- 2. After Course 1, assess remission status and assign patient to the appropriate risk group (see Section 11.2):
 - If good or standard risk, continue with AML15 protocol.
 - If poor risk, patient should continue with AML15 protocol or may be entered into a current NCRI high risk AML trial when available.
 - Carry out tissue typing to ascertain donor availability (in patients classified as poor risk this should include unrelated donor).
 - Blood and bone marrow should be sent for minimal residual disease monitoring (see Section 18).

Administer the second course of the allocated AML15 induction therapy. Mylotarg will be given with Course 1 only.

- 3. After Course 2, assess remission status:
 - If CR, continue with AML15 protocol.
 - If not in CR, patient can continue in the AML15 trial or can enter a current NCRI high risk trial when available
 - Patients who are suitable for minimal residual disease monitoring should have a bone marrow assessment (see Section 18).
- If the patient is standard or poor risk (for adults) or poor risk (for children) and has a donor available the search should be initiated as soon as possible after diagnosis and the patient is considered suitable for an allogeneic Stem Cell Transplant (allo-SCT) they should receive either:
 - (i) Standard allo-SCT as Course 3, if considered young and fit enough for the procedure. Standard allo-SCT beyond age 45 years is not recommended.
 - (ii) A course of MACE followed by non-ablative "mini" allo-SCT as Course 4, if not considered suitable for standard allo-SCT.
- 5. If patient is intended not to receive SCT, randomise between:
 - (i) MRC consolidation chemotherapy (MACE plus MidAC) versus highdose Ara-C (with a sub-randomisation between Ara-C at 1.5 g/m² versus 3.0 g/m² dose levels).
 - (ii) Mylotarg versus not in Course 3.

The six main consolidation treatment arms will therefore be:

- Arm F MACE followed by MidAC (with no Mylotarg)
- Arm G MACE followed by MidAC (with Mylotarg in Course 3)
- Arm H Ara-C at 1.5 g/m^2 for two courses (with no Mylotarg)
- Arm I Ara-C at 1.5 g/m^2 for two courses (with Mylotarg in Course 3)
- Arm J Ara-C at 3.0 g/m² for two courses (with no Mylotarg)
- Arm K Ara-C at 3.0 g/m^2 for two courses (with Mylotarg in Course 3)

This is a factorial 3x2 design, so clinicians may elect to undertake only one or other of the two randomisations, though it is intended that most will undertake both.

Children are not currently eligible for the Mylotarg randomisation and will only be randomised between MRC consolidation and Ara-C at 3.0 g/m² (i.e. only Arms F and J will apply).

6 After Course 4, randomise between:

4 versus 5 courses of therapy in total - the fifth course will be Ara-C at 1.5 g/m^2 .

3.2 Acute Promyelocytic Leukaemia (APL)

There are two randomised comparisons within the trial:

At diagnosis: (i) MRC approach versus Spanish approach.

Before Course 3: (ii) Mylotarg versus not with Course 3.

Full details of the rationale for these comparisons, progress through the trial and treatment can be found in the relevant sections of the protocol, but are summarised below (and in the flow diagrams on the front and back covers):

- 1. At diagnosis: Adults only will be randomised between the MRC approach (4 courses of intensive chemotherapy) and the Spanish approach (4 courses of less intensive anthracycline based therapy with maintenance treatment). All APL patients receive all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) until remission is achieved.
- 2. After Course 1, assess remission status (see Section 11.1).
- 3. After Course 2, reassess remission status:
 - If CR, continue with AML15 protocol.
 - If not in CR, patient should be treated as high risk APL as set out in Section 17.11.
 - Bone marrow should be sent for MRD monitoring.
- 4. Before Course 3, randomise to receive Mylotarg or not with Course 3.
- 5. Before and after Course 4, regular assessment of minimal residual disease should take place (see Section 18).

Children with APL are not currently eligible for any randomisation and should be treated by the MRC approach without Mylotarg in course 3.

4. JUSTIFICATION OF TRIAL DESIGN AND TREATMENT SCHEDULES

4.1 AML (excluding APL)

4.1.1 Experience from AML12

The rationale behind the design of AML15 and the treatment choices is based on the experience gained from AML12 and earlier MRC AML trials.

It is clear that AML12 was a highly successful trial with recruitment at an unprecedented level, a high overall CR rate of 84%, and survival which is significantly improved compared with the previous MRC AML10 trial and which compares very favourably with any international protocol. Thus, the therapy used in AML12 forms the backbone of the AML15 trial.

4.1.2.Induction therapy

The MRC AML10 trial randomised more than 1800 patients between the standard DAT regimen and the etoposide containing regimen ADE for the first two courses⁽¹⁾. CR rate, disease-free survival and overall survival were very similar with the two schedules. There was slightly greater non-haemopoietic toxicity with ADE (alopecia, mucositis, nausea, diarrhoea). Despite the greater toxicity of etoposide, it is considered appropriate to use ADE as the standard induction arm of AML15, because of concerns over the liver toxicity of thioguanine (the "T" component of DAT) and doubts over its long-term availability. The DA schedule is widely used around the world as standard of care, and has been found to be more feasible when combined with Mylotarg.

The randomisation between daunorubicin and mitoxantrone in the first two courses of AML12 (i.e. ADE versus MAE) accrued over 1900 patients. There were no significant differences in CR rate, disease-free survival or overall survival between the two schedules, but mitoxantrone caused significantly longer neutropenia and thrombocytopenia after course 2 (an effect which also carries over into later courses), thereby leading to substantially increased resource usage and fewer patients being able to undergo the second randomisation⁽²⁾. Thus, daunorubicin will be used in the standard arm of AML15 and the standard induction regimen will be ADE.

A number of trials have compared different doses of Ara-C during induction⁽³⁻⁷⁾. The CALGB 7921 and 8321 trials showed that relatively modest increases in Ara-C dose (100 mg/m²/d for 10 versus 7 days and 200 mg/m²/d versus 100 mg/m²/d respectively) produced substantial reductions in the number of patients failing to achieve CR due to resistant disease (19% versus 29%, p=0.003). The small UCLA trial showed a similar 10% reduction in resistant disease with 1 g/m²/d⁽⁵⁾. Neither the ALSG study of 6 g/m²/d for 4 days versus 100 mg/m²/d for 7 days⁽⁶⁾ nor the SWOG study⁽⁷⁾ of 4 g/m²/d for 6 days versus 200 mg/m²/d for 7 days demonstrated higher CR rates with the higher dose of Ara-C, but in both trials the relapse risk was reduced with the higher dose (p=0.002 and p=0.04 respectively). Thus, there is some evidence that increasing the dose of Ara-C both reduces the number of failures due to resistant disease and the subsequent risk of relapse. There is no clear evidence that higher doses of Ara-C (greater than 1 $g/m^2/d$) are more effective than intermediate doses. These data provided the rationale for testing an intermediate dose of Ara-C (200 mg bd) versus a standard dose (100 mg bd) in the H-DAT v. S-DAT randomisation in the second half of the MRC AML12 trial. 1400 patients were randomised and a preliminary analysis suggests that there are no important differences in efficacy, but there may be some more toxicity in the H-DAT arm. For these reasons the standard 100 mg/m² bd dose of Ara-C for courses 1 and 2 of AML15 will be used in the ADE and DA regimens.

The combination of Fludarabine with Ara-C has pharmacological rationale. The recently completed MRC AML-HR trial tested this combination versus the ADE schedule in relapsed and other high-risk patients and the data are currently being analysed. The addition of G-CSF to this schedule is intended to sequence leukaemia blast cells and thereby make them more sensitive to Ara-C. Historical comparisons have questioned the value of the addition of G-CSF given with the chemotherapy. The AML-HR trial assessed the value of G-CSF during and after the chemotherapy in a randomised fashion. The addition of Idarubicin to the FLAG schedule (Flurarabine, Ara-C, G-CSF) has been widely used in relapsed patients^(8, 9). This combination has been shown to be effective in relapsed patients, but has never been subjected to randomised comparison in any context, with the exception of the MRC-AML HR Trial.

Studies of FLAG or FLAG-Ida used as first line treatment are rare. A phase II Italian study produced a CR of 88% in around 90 patients, which confirms the efficacy of this combination, and provides a rationale for comparing this schedule with the ADE and DA schedules. Because the remission rate is already high, to detect difference in CR rate will require over 1000 patients to be randomised. This has proved to be feasible in previous MRC trials. There may be differences in the quality of remission which are only detectable in molecular responses or relapse rates.

4.2 Mylotarg (Gemtuzumab Ozogamicin)

The MRC AML10 trial suggested that "more treatment is better" ⁽¹⁰⁾. However, adding still more conventional chemotherapy is difficult because of toxicity. The possibility of targeting chemotherapy using the immunoconjugate Mylotarg has become a realistic option^(11, 12). This agent has been developed by Wyeth-Ayerst Research and comprises a humanised Anti-CD33 monoclonal antibody which is linked to Calicheamicin, a potent anti-tumour agent. As a single agent it achieved a remission rate of 34% in relapsed patients⁽¹³⁾. However, of greater interest is that it has, by comparison with chemotherapy, a favourable toxicity profile. Conceptually it offers more anti-leukaemic treatment with less 'cost' in terms of toxicity.

As a single agent Mylotarg results in cytopenias to a degree equivalent to chemotherapy. In the post-transplant setting, veno-occlusive disease (VOD) has been reported in approximately 4% of cases and a transient transaminitis in 20% of cases. There is little experience of Mylotarg in combination with chemotherapy. In a single centre experience in patients of all ages with poor risk pre-treated disease, hepatic VOD was reported in 13% of cases with advanced disease given intensive multiagent treatment⁽¹⁴⁾. For the AML15 trial a pilot study has been conducted to clarify the dose of Mylotarg, which can be combined with the chemotherapy prescribed in the protocol. It has concluded that a Mylotarg dose of 3 mg/m² does not result in excess toxicity, but can only be given with the first induction course⁽¹⁵⁾. Experience from the pilot study suggests that the inclusion of thioguanine in the chemotherapy, when combined with Mylotarg, may increase toxicity, so Mylotarg will only be used in association with DA or FLAG-Ida induction. The level at which CD33 requires to be expressed on leukaemic cells for the immunoconjugate to be effective is not known. It may be effective at expression levels that do not make the cell CD33 positive by conventional definition.

The AML15 trial will not be restricted to patients who are CD33 positive by conventional immunophenotyping techniques. The immunoconjugate may represent a way of delivering more anti-leukaemic treatment to the induction and/or consolidation chemotherapy. The induction will therefore compare ADE versus DA versus FLAG-Ida for courses 1 and 2 with or without the addition of Mylotarg to Course 1 (in the DA and FLAG-Ida schedules only).

4.3 Risk Group Designation

Univariate and multivariate analyses of AML10 indicated that two parameters were of very highly significant prognostic importance in relation to relapse — namely, cytogenetic group and percentage of blasts in the bone marrow after Course 1⁽¹⁶⁾. This finding has been confirmed in AML12 and the risk group definitions are now well-validated.

Favourable genetic abnormalities are t(8;21) or inv(16), while adverse abnormalities are -5, -7, del(5q), abn(3q) or complex karyotype. All other abnormalities and normal karyotypes are classified as intermediate risk⁽¹⁷⁾. Occasionally a favourable abnormality may occur in conjunction with other genetic changes and, in such cases, the patient should still be regarded as having favourable genetics. In AML12, the 5-year survival of patients in each of these three groups is: 73% in favourable, 44% in intermediate and 17% in adverse genetic groups. Patients may be placed in the favourable or adverse genetic groups if relevant genetic abnormalities are detected by any method (e.g. cytogenetics, PCR, FISH).

Patients also fall into three status groups based on the bone marrow assessment after Course 1 (see Section 11.1 for full definitions).

- 1. Complete remission (CR) i.e. <5% leukaemic cells by morphology.
- 2. Partial remission (PR) i.e. 5-15% leukaemic cells by morphology.
- 3. Resistant disease (RD) i.e. >15% leukaemic cells by morphology.

In AML12, patients with resistant disease have a very poor outlook (5 year survival of 26%), while partial remitters only do slightly worse than complete remitters (5 year survivals of 44% and 56% respectively).

There is a correlation between genetics and bone marrow status, i.e. patients with favourable genetics tend to be in CR or PR after one course, while patients with adverse genetics tend to have resistant disease. Patients with favourable genetics who have resistant disease after Course 1 appear to have a good prognosis, while patients with adverse genetics who are in CR or PR after Course 1 tend to do badly (though the numbers in both of these groups are small).

Therefore, genetics at diagnosis and bone marrow status after the first course can be combined to give three risk groups defined as follows:

Good risk: Any patient with favourable genetic abnormalities — i.e. t(8;21), inv(16)/t(16;16), including those molecularly detected irrespective of marrow status after Course 1 or the presence of other genetic abnormalities.

Standard risk:	Any patient not in either good risk or poor risk groups — i.e. neither favourable nor adverse genetic abnormalities and not more than 15% blasts in the bone marrow after Course 1.
Poor risk:	Any patient with more than 15% blasts in the bone marrow performed after Course 1 or with adverse genetic abnormalities — -5, -7, del(5q), abn(3q), t(9;22), complex — and without favourable genetic abnormalities.

Data from AML12 suggest that about half of patients will fall into the standard risk group, with about 30% in the good risk group and 20% in the poor risk group. The survival and relapse rates of these three groups at 5 years are shown below:

Risk group	Survival	Relapse rate
Good	76%	25%
Standard	48%	52%
Poor	21%	73%

Other Risk Criteria: Retrospective analyses of FLT3 gene mutations suggest that the presence of a mutation may provide additional indication of an adverse prognosis particularly for relapse risk ⁽¹⁸⁻²⁰⁾. It is intended that this will be prospectively evaluated in all trial entrants (see Section 4.7.1) in the early part of the trial, and may subsequently be incorporated in the risk group definition. Samples will also be evaluated for other postulated prognostic factors (LDH, resistance proteins, other mutations).

4.4 Consolidation Chemotherapy

Since most younger patients with AML enter complete remission, a major therapeutic issue is to prevent relapse. We have established again the importance of cytogenetics in predicting relapse⁽¹⁷⁾ which has been incorporated into a simple risk score⁽¹⁶⁾. This has been used and prospectively validated in the AML12 Trial⁽²⁾. Using this risk directed approach we have shown that good risk patients do not benefit from transplant in terms of overall survival or quality of life^(10, 21-23). We will continue this risk directed approach in AML15. Poor risk patients identified after Course 1 of treatment should however continue in AML15 and should receive the second course as allocated but should also be considered as eligible for any current NCRI AML trial of relapsed or high risk disease. The AML15 trial will also be used to identify new risk factors and to enable several add-on studies to take place.

The major issues in consolidation phase are: (a) what chemotherapy schedule is best? (b) how many courses are needed? (c) is transplantation needed? The established MRC consolidation (MACE and MidAC) is effective. High dose Ara-C is most commonly used in the USA based on the major dose comparison by the CALGB group⁽²⁴⁾. It has been suggested that Ara-C is the treatment of choice for good risk patients⁽²⁵⁾ but similar good results have been obtained using the MRC schedule⁽²⁶⁾, so it is particularly relevant to compare these approaches in good risk patients. For standard risk patients, who are the majority group, the question of which and how much therapy is of crucial importance. This group may benefit from transplantation as shown in the MRC AML10 Trial^(10, 21). With respect to the chemotherapy question we will compare a high dose Ara-C approach with the MRC approach. It is not clear whether 3 g/m² dose levels of Ara-C are needed. The CALGB trial⁽²⁴⁾ demonstrated that a 3 g/m² dose schedule was better than 0.4 or 0.1 g/m², but no studies have compared intermediate doses. We will recruit sufficient patients to compare a dose level of 1.5 g/m² with 3 g/m², as this lower dose may be as effective but less toxic, and have a higher compliance. The availability of the immunoconjugate, Mylotarg offers an additional way of improving consolidation treatment. The pilot study has established the feasibility of combining Mylotarg with MACE or high-dose Ara-C, whether or not Mylotarg was used in Course 1. Mylotarg will be tested in the first consolidation course of each chemotherapy regimen (Course 3) including the consolidation phase for APL (see below), given on day 1 of each course at a dose of 3 mg protein/m². Because insufficient information on the use of Mylotarg in children is available, no paediatric patients will enter the Mylotarg randomisations in this trial

The MRC AML12 trial has addressed the issue of how many courses of treatment in total are required. Even with 1200 cases randomised there remains uncertainty. No other collaborative group is currently addressing this question where the level of intensity of each course is high. In this trial, standard risk and good risk patients who complete four courses will be randomised to a fifth course or not. Either longer follow-up of the 1200 randomised patients in AML12 or, more likely, a combined analysis with AML15 of a projected 2000 patients will be available to address this issue.

4.5 Stem Cell Transplantation

There was a modest overall survival advantage of allogeneic or autologous SCT in the MRC AML10 Trial^(10, 21), but there was sufficient uncertainty to justify continuing to address the question in standard risk patients in the MRC AML12 trial. The AML10 trial suggested that transplant, while able to reduce relapse risk in good risk patients, did not improve survival. The policy of not using transplant in good risk patients in AML12 has been validated. With an additional 330 randomised patients the preliminary conclusion is that there is no advantage in using an autograft as Course 4 or 5 (i.e. instead of chemotherapy). Careful analysis of other trials ⁽²⁷⁻²⁹⁾ demonstrates that autograft is not superior with respect to survival, either overall or within any risk group. It does however reduce the risk of relapse in all subgroups. This is confirmed in a meta-analysis⁽³⁰⁾. We therefore do not propose to evaluate autograft further. When the role of allograft is evaluated on a donor versus no donor basis and within risk groups the survival benefit is modest^(21, 31). However, a very important feature of these recent transplant trials has been that only a proportion (around 50-60%) of patients with donors receive the transplant. An intent to treat analysis may therefore underestimate the value of transplant – which clearly has the greatest antileukaemic effect. In AML15, patients with a sibling donor will have a conventional allograft as Course 3. The antileukaemic effect of a conventional allograft is well known and a recent International Bone Marrow Transplant Registry analysis suggested that the result of the transplant was not improved by prior consolidation chemotherapy⁽³²⁾, so bringing the allograft to Course 3</sup> is justifiable. This should enable a higher proportion of those with donors to receive the transplant. It will also address the question of whether a transplant given early will involve less overall care when compared with 4 or 5 courses of intensive chemotherapy. The comparison will be on a donor versus no donor basis with a Quality of Life assessment at 6, 12 and 24 months. In AML10 we demonstrated that there was no significant overall survival benefit with SCT for patients over the age of 35 years or in children. Transplant will only be offered to patients under 15 years who are designated poor risk. The use of peripheral blood rather than bone marrow has been suggested to be more effective^(33, 34). At this stage, the stem cell source will be left to investigator choice until more data emerge.

The concept of "minigrafting" has expanded rapidly in the last two years⁽³⁵⁾. By using a non-ablative schedule it is possible to achieve a high level of donor engraftment and thereby exert a graft versus leukaemia effect. The long-term efficacy of this approach is not yet known. Studies to evaluate its efficacy within disease groups are only now being planned. In the proposed trial we will evaluate the application of mini-transplants for standard risk older patients. This is of particular relevance to older patients who tolerate a conventional transplant less well or are too old to be offered a transplant. Ideally we would prefer to randomise patients in the middle age (35-44 years) range to a conventional versus mini-transplant, but the numbers available will be insufficient. Data from AML10 suggest that there is no survival benefit for patients over 35 years. We therefore will permit investigators to choose conventional or mini-allograft in patients 35-44 and recommend all older patients =45 receive a minigraft. In AML10, patients =35 vears showed a significant reduction in relapse risk (45% v. 58%) but this was counterbalanced by an excess of deaths in CR. It is recognised that mini-allograft is an emerging technique with differing protocols available. In the context of AML in older patients it may deliver the anti-leukaemic benefit with a reduced risk. The data of efficacy in AML are preliminary. Data from Seattle, Houston and London⁽³⁶⁾ and from the IBMTR (R Storb, S Giralt, S MacKinnon, personal communications) in a total of 200 patients suggest a similar efficacy to conventional allograft. During the course of the AML15 trial this technique will mature, so within the trial design a 'mini-graft' will be an available option as a phase II study where its efficacy can be compared on a donor versus no donor analysis in age stratified analyses. Similarly it can be expected that as the technique evolves the preparative schedule will alter, so this will be allowed for within the protocol. Since the anti-leukaemic effect of a minigraft is not known in AML, and the possibility exists that a minigraft as Course 3 could represent undertreatment, the minigraft will take place as Course 4 with a preceding course of MACE chemotherapy, until more data emerge.

4.6 Acute Promyelocytic Leukaemia (APL)

The combination of retinoic acid with chemotherapy in induction and/or consolidation phases with or without maintenance treatment in recent randomised trials has produced a cure rate of 70-75% in APL⁽³⁷⁻⁴⁰⁾. Included in these trials was the MRC ATRA trial. Having established in that trial that all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) given continuously until CR combined with chemotherapy was the best approach, a subsequent 179 patients have been treated and have achieved an 83% three year survival. This approach involves the standard 4 or 5 courses of chemotherapy with associated severe myelosuppression. The Spanish trial group⁽⁴⁰⁾ have recently shown, based on a protocol development from the Italian Study Group⁽⁴¹⁾, a 92% two year survival using retinoic acid combined with an anthracycline based approach and oral maintenance, i.e. eliminating the other myelosuppressive drugs used in the MRC schedule. This raises the issue as to whether the MRC approach involves unnecessary myelosuppression necessitating more supportive care and reduced QoL. A limited comparison between the two protocols suggests a substantially greater number of days cytopenic and in hospital in the MRC schedule. In APL, in spite of good survival, we propose to evaluate the low intensity (Spanish) approach versus the established MRC approach. The

primary endpoints will be resource use (measured by hospital days; day unit and OP attendance; antibiotics and transfusion support; neutropenic days) and QoL at 3, 6, 12 and 24 months.

Since APL cells have high CD33 expression, they may be a good target for an anti-CD33 antibody. Early experience using an unconjugated humanised antibody supported this concept with a patient entering CR and becoming RT-PCR negative for the PML-RAR α fusion transcript⁽⁴²⁾. Recent data from the MD Anderson Hospital (Houston) in a series of 18 patients demonstrated rapid RT-PCR negativity in patients treated with ATRA – which typically does not achieve molecular negativity as a single agent – with Mylotarg⁽⁴³⁾. This is supported by evidence that Mylotarg alone was able to achieve molecular negativity in relapsed APL patients. Thus Course 3 in each arm will have a randomised addition of Mylotarg.

In spite of this success there are still issues to be resolved in how best to manage high risk situations in APL. The MRC experience suggests that these are mainly patients who remain or who re-develop molecularly positive disease or who relapse. It is now clear that the most efficient way of restoring molecular negativity to these patients is the use of Arsenic Trioxide followed by stem cell transplantation^{44,45}. The protocol provides guidance on how to manage these high risk situations.

4.7 Molecular Screening and Minimal Residual Disease Monitoring

4.7.1 Risk Definition

At diagnosis all cases will have molecular screening (see Section 8.3). The particular target lesions concern the definition of favourable genetic abnormalities, i.e. AML1-ETO, CBF β -MYHII and PML-RAR α corresponding to t(8;21)(q22;q22), inv(16)(p13q22) and t(15;17)(q22;q12-21). Previous analyses suggest that approximately 15% of cases with these lesions that were not detected by conventional cytogenetics can be detected molecularly^(46, 47). In several cases this was due to technical failure, but may also be explained by more complex rearrangements. Although the number of cases is small they seem to respond in a similar way to cases defined by cytogenetics, and therefore can be used to define the favourable risk group.

Recent studies have revealed that 20-27% of AML cases are associated with a mutation of the FLT3 gene, which is an independent prognostic factor⁽¹⁸⁻²⁰⁾. All samples received by the University College Hospital London tissue bank will be analysed for FLT3 mutations as a quality control for banked nucleic acid and to prospectively validate the prognostic implications of FLT3 mutations within cytogenetic risk groups. At a later stage in the trial this information may be incorporated into the risk stratification.

4.7.2 Minimal Residual Disease Monitoring

Endpoint PCR analysis has been able to predict patients with APL who are at highest risk of relapse, when applied after Course 3⁽³⁹⁾. Conventional RT-PCR assays are relatively insensitive and hence a single MRD assessment performed at the end of consolidation therapy fails to identify all patients destined to relapse. However, the Italian GIMEMA group has shown that subsequent molecular surveillance successfully predicts the majority of relapses, with recent data

suggesting that pre-emptive treatment at the point of molecular relapse achieves a superior outcome to historical controls in frank haematological relapse⁽⁴⁸⁾. Experience with AML1-ETO and CBF β -MYHII is much less, and the results are inconclusive with respect to predictive testing^(49, 50). Patients known to have these three lesions will be serially followed using 'real-time' quantitative RT-PCR. These three lesions will only be useful in 25-30% of patients, with the lowest risk of relapse. A more universal target gene is WT1 (Wilm's Tumour gene) that is over expressed in 90% of AML cases⁽⁵¹⁾. While the suitability of WT1 as a marker for MRD is controversial, this may be the result of the use of non-quantitative assays of differing sensitivity. The trial will assess the value of quantitative monitoring by 'real-time' RT-PCR in appropriate cases for these lesions.

5. RANDOMISATION AVAILABILITY

Investigators are invited to regard this protocol as an evolving investigation into AML treatment. The statistical power calculations differ with each randomisation, so recruitment to some randomisations may be completed before others. This will mean that a randomised component of the trial may close or be changed before completion of the trial. Similarly, because individual components might require alteration in the light of trial monitoring or other experience this will be a feature of the trial. For example, Mylotarg dose may change in light of the trial experience.

6. REFERENCES

- (1) Hann IM, Stevens RF, Goldstone AH, Rees JK, Wheatley K, Gray RG et al. Randomized comparison of DAT versus ADE as induction chemotherapy in children and younger adults with acute myeloid leukemia. Results of the Medical Research Council's 10th AML Trial (MRC AML 10). Blood 1997; 89:2311-2318.
- (2) Burnett AK, Goldstone AH, Milligan DW. Daunorubicin versus Mitoxantrone as induction for AML in younger adults given intensive chemotherapy: preliminary results of MRC AML12 Trial. British Journal of Haematology 1999; 105((Suppl 1)):67(a).
- (3) Preisler HD, Davis RB, Kirshner J, Dupre E, Richards FM, Hoagland HC et al. Comparison of three remission induction regimens and two postinduction strategies for the treatment of acute nonlymphocytic leukemia: A Cancer and Leukemia Group B Study. Blood 1987; 69:1441-1449.
- (4) Dillman RO, Davis RB, Green MR, Weiss RB, Gottlieb AJ, Caplan S et al. A comparative study of two different doses of cytarabine for acute myeloid leukemia: A phase III trial of Cancer and Acute Leukemia Group B. Blood 1991; 78:2520-2526.
- (5) Schiller G, Gajewski J, Nimer S, et al. A randomized study of intermediate versus conventional dose cytarabine as intensive induction for acute myelogenous leukaemia. British Journal of Haematology 1992; 81:170-177.
- (6) Bishop JF, Matthews JP, Young GA, Szer J, Gillett A, Joshua D et al. A randomized study of high-dose Cytarabine in induction in acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 1996; 87:1710-1717.
- (7) Weick JK, Kopecky KJ, Appelbaum FR, Head DR, Kingsbury LL, Balcerzak SP et al. A randomized investigation of high-dose versus standard dose Cytosine Arabinoside with Daunorubicin in patients with previously untreated acute myeloid leukemia: A Southwest Oncology Group Study. Blood 1996; 88(8):2841-2851.

- (8) Estey E, Thall P, Andreeff M, Beran M, Kantarjian H, O'Brien S et al. Use of G-CSF before, during and after Fludarabine and ara-C induction chemotherapy of newly diagnosed AML or MDS: Comparison with Fludarabine + Ara-C without G-CSF. Journal of Clinical Oncology 1994;671-678.
- (9) Parker J, Pagliuca A, Mijovic A, et al. Fludarabine, cytarabine, G-CSF and idarubicin (Flag-Ida) for the treatment of poor-risk myelodysplastic syndromes and acute myeloid leukaemia. British Journal of Haematology 1997; 99:959-944.
- (10) Burnett AK, Goldstone AH, Stevens R, Hann IM, Rees JK, Gray RG et al. Randomised comparison of addition of autologous bone-marrow transplantation in intensive chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukaemia in first remission: results of MRC AML 10 Trial. Lancet 1998; 351:700-708.
- (11) Sievers EL, Appelbaum FR, Spielberger RT, Forman SJ, Flowers D, Smith FO et al. Selective ablation of acute myeloid leukemia using antibody-targeted chemotherapy: a phase I study of an anti-CD33 calicheamicin immunoconjugate. Blood 1999,93[11], 3678-3684.
- (12) Bernstein ID. Monoclonal antibodies to the myeloid stem cells: therapeutic implications of CMA-676, a humanized anti-CD33 antibody calicheamicin conjugate. Leukemia 2000; 14(3):474-475.
- (13) Sievers EL, Larson RA, Stadtmauer EA, Estey E, Lowenberg B, Dombret H et al. Efficacy and safety of Gemtuzumab Ozogamicin in patients with CD33-positive acute myeloid leukemia in first relapse. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2001; 19:3244-3254.
- (14) Giles FJ, Kantarjian HM, Kornblau SM, Thomas DA, Garcia-Manero G, Waddelow TADCL et al. Mylotarg (gemtuzumab ozogamicin) therapy is associated with hepatic venoocclusive disease in patients who have not received stem cell transplantation. Cancer 2001; 15(92(2)):406-413.
- (15) Kell W.J, Burnett A.K., Chopra R, Yin, J.A.L., Clark R.E., Rohatiner A, Culligan D, Hunter A, Prentice A.G, and Milligan D. A feasibility study of simultaneous administration of gemtuzumab ozogamicin with intensive chemotherapy in induction and consolidation in younger patients with acute myeloid leukaemia. *Blood* 2003, 102 (13):4277-4283.
- (16) Wheatley K, Burnett AK, Goldstone AH, Gray RG, Hann IM, Harrison CJ et al. A simple, robust, validated and highly predictive index for the determination of risk-directed therapy in acute myeloid leukaemia derived from the MRC AML 10 trial. United Kingdom Medical Research Council's Adult and Childhood Leukaemia Working Parties. British Journal of Haematology 1999; 107(1):69-79.
- (17) Grimwade D, Walker H, Oliver F, Wheatley K, Harrison C, Harrison G et al. The importance of diagnostic cytogenetics on outcome in AML: Analysis of 1,612 patients entered into the MRC AML: 10 Trial. Blood 1998; 92:2322-2333.
- (18) Kottaridis PD, Gale RE, Frew ME, Harrison G, Langabeer S, Belton AA et al. The presence of a FLT3 internal tandem duplication in patients with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) adds important prognostic information to cytogenetic risk group and response to the first cycle of chemotherapy: analysis of 854 patients from the United Kingdom Medical Research Council AML 10 and 12 Trials. Blood 2001; 98(6):1752-1759.
- (19)Rombouts WJ, Blokland I, Lowenberg B, Ploemacher RE. Biological characteristics and prognosis of adult acute myeloid leukemia with internal tandem duplications in the FLT3 gene. Leukemia 2000,14[4], 675-683.
- (20) Kiyoi H, Naoe T, Nakano Y, Yokota S, Minami S, Miyawaki S et al. Prognostic implication of FLT3 and N-RAS gene mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 1999; 93:3074-3080.

- (21) Burnett A.K., Wheatley K, Goldstone AH, Stevens RF, Hann IM, Rees JKH et al. The value of allogeneic bone marrow transplant in patients with acute myeloid leukaemia at differing risk of relapse: results of the UK MRC AML10 Trial. British Journal of Haematology 2002: 118(2) :385 -400.
- (22) Stevens RF, Hann IM, Wheatley K, Gray RG. Marked improvements in outcome with chemotherapy alone in paediatric acute myeloid leukaemia: results of the United Kingdom Medical Research Council's 10th AML trial. British Journal of Haematology 1998; 101:130-140.
- (23) Watson M, Wheatley K, Harrison GA, Zittoun R, Gray RG, Goldstone AH et al. Severe adverse impact on sexual functioning and fertility of bone marrow transplantation, either allogeneic or autologous, compared with consolidation chemotherapy alone: analysis of the MRC AML 10 trial. Cancer 1999; 86(7):1231-1239.
- (24) Mayer RJ, Davis RB, Schiffer CA, Berg DT, Powell BL, Schulman P et al. Intensive postremission chemotherapy in adults with acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer and Leukemia Group B. New England Journal of Medicine 1994; 331(14):896-903.
- (25) Bloomfield CD, Lawrence D, Byrd JC, Carroll A, Pettanati MJ, Trantravahi R et al. Frequency of prolonged remission duration after high-dose cytarabine intensification in acute myeloid leukemia varies by cytogenetic subtype. Cancer Research 1998; 58:4173.
- (26) Burnett AK, Goldstone AH, Wheatley K. Core binding factor leukaemias are a discrete group: experience from MRC AML 10, 11 and 12 Trials. British Journal of Haematology 1999; 105(1):67.
- (27) Zittoun RA, Mandelli F, Willemze R, de Witte T, Labar B, Resegotti L et al. Autologous or allogeneic bone marrow transplantation compared with intensive chemotherapy in acute myelogenous leukemia. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) and the Gruppo Italiano Malattie Ematologiche Maligne dell'Adulto (GIMEMA) Leukemia Cooperative Groups. New England Journal of Medicine 1995; 332(4):217-223.
- (28) Harousseau JL, Cahn JY, Pignon B, Witz F, Milpied N, Delain M et al. Comparison of autologous bone marrow transplantation and intensive chemotherapy as postremission therapy in adult acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 1997; 90:2978-2986.
- (29) Cassileth PA, Harrington DP, Appelbaum F, Lazarus HM, Rowe JM, Paietta E et al. Chemotherapy compared with autologous or allogeneic bone marrow transplantation in the management of acute myeloid leukemia in first remission. New England Journal of Medicine 1998; 339(23):1649-1656.
- (30) Suciu S. The value of BMT in AML patients in first remission: a statistician's view-point. Annals of Hematology 1991; 62:41-44.
- (31) Keating S, de Witte T, Suciu S, Willemze R, Hayat M, Labar B et al. The influence of HLAmatched sibling donor availability on treatment outcome for patients with AML: an analysis of the AML 8A study of the EORTC Leukaemia Cooperative Group and GIMEMA. British Journal of Haematology 1998; 102:1344-1353.
- (32) Tallman MS, Rowlings PA, Milone G, Zhang M-J, Perez WS, Weisdorf D et al. Effect of postremission chemotherapy before human leukocyte antigen-identical sibling transplantation for acute myelogenous leukemia in first complete remission. Blood 2000; 96(4):1254-1258.

- (34) Powles R, Mehta J, Kulkarni S, Treleaven J, Millar B, Marsden J et al. Allogeneic blood and bone-marrow stem-cell transplantation in haematological malignant diseases: a randomised trial. The Lancet 2000; 355:1231-1237.
- (35) Giralt SA, Estey E, Albitar M, van Besien KW, Rondon G, Anderlini P et al. Engraftment of allogeneic hematopoietic progenitor cells with purine analog-containing chemotherapy: harnessing graft-versus-leukemia without myeloablative therapy. Blood 1997; 89(12):4531-4536.
- (36) Peggs K, Craddock C, Milligan D, Chopra R, Mahendra P, Chakraverty R et al. Nonmyeloablative allogeneic transplantation for high-risk acute leukemia and myelodysplasia. Blood 2001; 98(11):410a-411a.
- (37) Tallman MS, Anderson JW, Schiffer CA, Appelbaum FR, Feusner JH, Ogden A et al. Alltransretinoic acid in acute promyelocytic leukemia. New England Journal of Medicine 1997; 337:1021-1028.
- (38) Fenaux P, Le Deley MC, Castaigne S, Archimbaud E, Chomienne C, Lisk H et al. Effect of all trans retinoic acid in newly diagnosed acute promyelocytic leukemia: results of a multicenter randomized trial. Blood 1993; 82:3241-3249.
- (39) Burnett AK, Grimwade D, Solomon E, Wheatley K, Goldstone AH. Presenting white cell count and kinetics of molecular remission predict prognosis in acute promyelocytic leukemia treated with All-transretinoic acid: Result of the randomized MRC Trial . Blood 1999; 93(2):4131-4143.
- (40) Sanz MA, Martin G, Rayon C, Esteve J, Gonzalez M, Diaz-Mediavilla J et al. A modified AIDA protocol with Anthracycline-based consolidation results in high antileukemic efficacy and reduced toxicity in newly diagnosed PML/RARα- positive acute promyelocytic leukemia. Blood 1999; 94(9):3015-3021.
- (41) Avvisati G, Lo Coco F, Diverio D, Falda M, Ferrara F, Lazzarino M et al. AIDA (All-trans retinoic acid + Idarubicin) in newly diagnosed acute promyelocytic leukemia: A Gruppo Italiano Malattie Ematologiche Maligne dell' Adulto (GIMEMA) Pilot Study. Blood 1996; 88(4):1390-1398.
- (42) Jurcic JG, DeBlasio T, Dumont L, Yao T-J, Scheinberg DA. Molecular remission induction with retinoic acid and anti-CD33 monoclonal antibody HuM195 in acute promyelocytic leukemia. Clinical Cancer Research 2000; 6:372-380.
- (43) Estey E, Giles F, Cortes J, Thomas D, Garcia-Manero G, Faderl S et al. Gemtuzumab Ozogamycin ("Mylotarg") in untreated acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL). Blood 2001; 98(11):766a.
- (44) S. L. Soignet, P. Maslak, Z-G. Wang, and et al. Complete remission after treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia with arsenic trioxide. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1998, 339:1341-1348.
- (45) Soignet S L, Frankel SR, Douer D, et al. United States multicenter study of arsenic trioxide in relapsed acute promyelocytic leukemia. J Clinical Oncology 2001;19: 3852-60.

- (46) Langabeer SE, Walker H, Gale RE, Wheatley K, Burnett AK, Goldstone AH et al. Frequency of CBF?/MYH11 fusion transcripts in patients entered into the UK MRC AML trials. British Journal of Haematology 1997; 96:736-739.
- (47) Langabeer SE, Walker H, Rogers JR, Burnett AK, Wheatley K, Swirsky D et al. Incidence of AML1/ETO fusion transcripts in patients entered into the MRC AML trials. British Journal of Haematology 1997; 99:925-928.
- (48) Lo Coco F, Diverio D, Avvisati G, Petti MC, Meloni G, Pogliani EM et al. Therapy of molecular relapse in Acute Promyelocytic Leukemia. Blood 1999; 94(7):2225-2229.
- (49) Yin JAL. Detection of minimal residual disease in acute myeloid leukaemia: Methodologies, clinical and biological significance. British Journal of Haematology 1999; 106:578-590.
- (50) Morschhauser F, Cayuela JM, Martini S, Baruchel A, Rousselot P, Socie G et al. Evaluation of minimal residual disease using reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction in t(8;21) acute myeloid leukemia: A multicenter study of 51 patients. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2000; 18(4):788-794.
- (51) Bergmann L, Miething C, Maurer U., et al. High levels of Wilm's tumour gene (WT1 mRNA) in acute myeloid leukemia are associated with a worse long-term outcome. Blood 1997; 90:1217.

7. INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA

7.1 Inclusion Criteria

Patients are eligible for the AML15 trial if:

- They have one of the forms of acute myeloid leukaemia as defined by the WHO Classification (Appendix A) — this can be any type of *de novo* or secondary AML. Adult patients with acute promyelocytic leukaemia (APL) are eligible and should be entered into the randomisations specifically for APL (see Section 17).
- They are considered suitable for intensive chemotherapy.
- They should normally be under the age of 60, but patients over this age are eligible if intensive therapy is considered a suitable option.
- They have given written informed consent.

7.2 Exclusion criteria

Patients are not eligible for the AML15 trial if:

- They have previously received cytotoxic chemotherapy for AML. [Hydroxyurea, or similar low-dose therapy, to control the white count prior to initiation of intensive therapy is not an exclusion].
- They are in blast transformation of chronic myeloid leukaemia (CML).
- They have a concurrent active malignancy.
- They are pregnant or lactating.

- Patients with abnormal liver function tests exceeding twice the local upper limit of normal are not eligible for the Mylotarg randomisations.
- The physician and patient consider that intensive therapy is not an appropriate treatment option. (Such patients should be entered into the current NCRI trial for older or less fit patients).
- Children with APL or Down syndrome are not eligible for AML15 trial randomisation. They can, however, be registered with BCTU at diagnosis.

8. PROCEDURES FOR ENTRY INTO THE TRIAL AND DATA RECORDING

8.1 Centre Registration

Centres will be sent trial information by way of an invitation to participate in the trial. New regulations on the conduct of clinical trials place obligations on the investigators. In order to be registered as a trial centre, investigators (as an institution) will be asked to confirm: (1) that they have received and have read the MRC guidelines for good clinical practice in clinical trials, (2) that the study has LREC approval, (3) that written consent will be obtained for each patient and a copy retained in the notes, (4) that they agree to report serious unexpected adverse events as set out in Section 25 of this protocol, (5) that they agree to participate in random audit if requested, (6) that they will report data in a timely fashion, (7) that material to be stored for research is obtained using the trial consent documentation.

For administrative reasons, investigators will also be asked to supply details of the location of their immunophenotyping, cytogenetic, molecular- genetic, pharmacy, tissue typing and transplant services, whether they wish to transmit data using the web based data collection system, and investigator contact Email addresses. In addition a limited amount of biochemical data will be collected and, as part of the Centre Registration process, relevant institutional normal ranges (bilirubin, AST, ALT and LDH) will be registered.

8.1.1 Patient Recruitment

Patients may be recruited only once a centre is fully registered. Patients should be consented for overall entry into the trial using **Patient Information Sheet 1 and Consent Form 1.** Further consent documents will be used at each **randomisation** point.

8.2 Randomisation

There are three randomisation points in the trial for which contact must be made with the Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit (BCTU). Patients fulfilling the criteria for entry into the trial (see Section 7) should be entered into the first randomisation by telephoning the BCTU in Birmingham (tel: 0800 953 0274). Telephone randomisation is available Monday to Friday, 09.00–17.00; internet randomisation is available seven days a week at: https://www.trials.bham.ac.uk/aml15

8.2.1 First randomisation

Note: For this randomisation **Patient Information Sheet 2** and **Consent Form 2** should be used. During the course of the trial certain randomisation options may not be

available permanently or on a temporary basis. Investigators will be informed in advance so that only relevant information is given to the patient during the consent procedure.

Induction chemotherapy allocation will be given once the required patient details have been supplied. Patients will be allocated to one of three induction schedules, namely:

ADE or DA or FLAG-Ida (for details, see Section 9).

Patients randomised to **DA** or **FLAG**-Ida will also be allocated to:

Mylotarg or not (for details, see Section 10 and Appendix B).

Note: It is expected that most patients will be entered into both randomisations. Exceptionally, a clinician may elect to undertake just one or other randomisation. If a patient is not randomised to ADE versus DA versus FLAG-Ida, they must not be given FLAG-Ida; if a patient is not randomised to Mylotarg versus not, they must not receive Mylotarg. **Children will not enter the Mylotarg randomisation**

The five available treatment arms are:

Arm A	Two courses of ADE (with no Mylotarg)
Arm B	Two courses of DA (with no Mylotarg)
Arm C	Two courses of DA (with Mylotarg in Course 1)
Arm D	Two courses of FLAG-Ida (with no Mylotarg)
Arm E	Two courses of FLAG-Ida (with Mylotarg in Course 1)

If a patient is randomised both between chemotherapy regimens and between Mylotarg versus not, they will be allocated to one of the five arms with a 20% chance of receiving any one.

If a patient is not randomised between Mylotarg or not, they will be allocated between Arm A versus Arm B versus Arm D with a 33% chance of receiving each one.

If a patient is not randomised between chemotherapy schedules (i.e. FLAG-Ida is not an option), they will be allocated between Arm A versus Arm B versus Arm C with a 33% chance of receiving each one.

Children: Children are only eligible for randomisation between ADE and FLAG-Ida (i.e. Arm A versus Arm D) and will not enter the Mylotarg randomisation.

8.2.2 Information required at first randomisation

- Centre and name of consultant in charge of management
- Patient's name (family name and given name)
- Sex
- Date of birth

- WHO performance status: 0=normal activity, 1=restricted activity, 2=in bed <50% waking hours, 3=in bed >50% waking hours, 4=completely disabled. For children under 10 use the Play Performance Scale (see Appendix D).
- Type of disease: de novo AML / secondary AML
- Whether APL (FAB type M3) or not
- If for Mylotarg randomisation, confirmation that liver function tests are within twice the upper limit of normal.

If APL, you will be asked to confirm that you wish to randomise between the MRC versus the Spanish approaches.

If not APL, you will be asked whether you wish to randomise for ADE versus DA versus FLAG-Ida and for Mylotarg versus not. For the Mylotarg randomisation investigators will be required to confirm that the patient has liver function tests that do not exceed twice the normal range.

You will also be asked to state whether, based on a preliminary assessment, the patient is a potential candidate for standard allograft, mini-allograft or not for transplant if a matched sibling donor were to be identified. It is obviously difficult to make such judgements at diagnosis, and you will not be expected to stick with your initial evaluation, but this information is necessary to give an idea of the patient's possible course.

8.3 Diagnostic material

One objective of the trial is to investigate the therapeutic relevance of new techniques for detecting minimal residual disease and the quality of remission. Diagnostic material is essential for these studies. It is of particular importance to define the cytogenetic abnormalities, and where possible the molecular characteristics, of each patient as this may be relevant to the treatment strategy.

8.3.1 Morphology

Central morphological review of adults will be provided by Dr David Swirsky as in previous MRC AML trials. Six unstained unfixed marrow slides should be sent to him at diagnosis (see page ii for address). For children, slides should be sent to Mrs J Britton (see Section 23.2)

8.3.2 Cytogenetics and Molecular Genetics

Cytogenetics should be carried out locally and the submission of the report directly from the cytogenetics laboratory to the BCTU will be organised by Mrs Helen Walker for adults. Cell pellets should be stored locally. If there are difficulties locally, central facilities will be provided by Mrs Walker at UCH — please indicate clearly on the samples that cytogenetic analysis is required. Dr Christine Harrison will coordinate cytogenetics in children (see Section 23.2).

Molecular definition is intended for all patients initially for characterisation of FLT3 mutation, for identification of cases with cryptic gene rearrangements that reassign patients to the favourable risk group, and for the identification of cases suitable for minimal residual disease monitoring. Investigators will be informed of patients in whom molecular screening alters the risk group assignment and/or reveals a molecular marker suitable for MRD detection before the first bone marrow

assessment of remission status after Course 1. Diagnostic material will also be stored for studies of resistance proteins, WT-1 gene expression, DNA microarray and future research studies, for which patient informed consent must be obtained. Reference laboratories for receipt of material have been established (listed below), to which more may be added; however for cases of suspected APL, **all samples** should be directed to Helen Walker at UCH, London.

Department of Haematology and Blood Transfusion, UCLH. (Contact: Helen Walker)

Department of Haematology, University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff (Contact: Louise Truran)

Department of Haematology, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester (Contact: Sarah Daly)

Haematological Malignancy Diagnostic Services, Algernon Firth Building, Leeds General Infirmary, Leeds, LS1 3EX (Contact: Paul Evans)

Haematology Department, City Hospital, Hucknell Road, Nottingham, NG5 1PB (Contact: Ian Carter)

Department of Haematology, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Glossop Road, Sheffield, S10 2JF (Contact: Gill Wilson)

Regional Genetics Laboratory, Birmingham Woman's Hospital, Edgbaston Birmingham B15 2TG (Contact: Mike Griffiths)

Samples at diagnosis for molecular analysis (and cytogenetics if being sent to Mrs Helen Walker) should be:

4 ml of bone marrow in tissue culture medium with preservative-free heparin

30 ml of heparinised blood

Ideally, both marrow and blood should be sent, but if only one is available please send that.

8.3.3 Immunophenotyping

Immunological definition is essential and should be carried out locally at the regional service — a copy of the report should be sent to BCTU with the "Notification of Entry" form.

8.3.4 Follow-up Material

Investigators will be informed of patients who are of particular interest for minimal residual disease. Arrangements for monitoring these patients are set out in Section 18.

8.4 Data recording

It is intended to develop data recording for this trial as a web-based system. This is a secure encrypted system accessed by an institutional password, and complies with Data Protection Act standards. The system can be accessed on:

https://www.trials.bham.ac.uk/aml15

A user password will be supplied to investigators on receipt of the letter of LREC Approval, and centre registration information (see Section 8.1).

For investigators who do not wish to use the internet system, a patient record book will be sent to the consultant in charge of management following entry.

Forms should be completed and either entered via the web-based system or returned to BCTU as follows:

Notification of Entry (Section A) — return when all the diagnostic data requested are available (but not later than 1 month after entry).

Induction Chemotherapy (Section B) — return when blood counts have recovered after the second induction course, or at prior death (but not later than 2 months after completion of Course 2).

Consolidation Chemotherapy (Section C) — return when blood counts have recovered after the final course of consolidation chemotherapy, or at prior death (but not later than 2 months after the final course).

Transplant (Section D - only for patients receiving a transplant) — return when blood counts have recovered post transplant, or at prior death (but not later than 3 months after transplant).

One Year Follow-up (Section E) — return at one year after the end of treatment in 1st CR (i.e. last consolidation chemotherapy or transplant), or at death if the patient dies within 1 year of finishing therapy.

Relapse (Section F) — return at the completion of reinduction (and consolidation) therapy or at death (but not later than 4 months after relapse).

8.5 Quality of Life Assessments

Subgroups of patients will be invited to participate in Quality of Life assessment at times specified in the protocol. All patients in remission at one year will be asked to complete a Quality of Life assessment. The instrument will be the QLQC-30, plus the leukaemia specific module, which has been used in previous MRC AML trials. The patients should complete this at the specified time point and return it (Freepost) to the Trial Office at BCTU.

8.6 Health Economics

Basic information on resource use will be collected in the data forms B to F on all patients. Selected patients will be invited to provide additional information in the form of a patient diary that will be issued to the patient by the investigator.

Once a patient has been randomised, it is very important to have full details of the subsequent course of events, even if allocated therapy has been abandoned. Although clinical decisions remain with the physician (see Section 1, Ethical Considerations), follow-up data must continue to be collected on such patients and trial forms must be filled in, as far as possible, giving details of the therapy actually received and its outcome.

9. INDUCTION CHEMOTHERAPY: Courses 1 and 2

Each induction schedule comprises two courses of allocated chemotherapy. Remission status will be determined after each course. If after Course 1, the patient is defined as poor risk (see Section 11.2), they should continue in AML15 or enter any currently available NCRI high risk trial. If remission is not confirmed after the second course, the patient is off protocol for the AML15 study and can be entered into a current NCRI high risk AML trial. Follow-up data must continue to be collected on all patients who go off protocol.

Patients who present with high white cell counts (> 30×10^9 /l) and have been randomised to receive Mylotarg can either receive oral Hydroxyurea (up to 4 g/day) to reduce the count before starting treatment, or can delay the administration of Mylotarg to day 4 of the chemotherapy. Patients with high counts at diagnosis can be considered for treatment with Rasburicase to reduce the effect of tumour lysis.

Note: All drug doses should be reduced by 25% for children aged less than one year.

9.1 ADE schedule

Course 1 **ADE 10+3+5**

Cytosine Arabinoside 100 mg/m^2 12-hourly by i.v. push on days 1-10 inclusive (20 doses).

Daunorubicin 50 mg/m² daily by slow (1 hour) i.v. infusion on days 1, 3 and 5 (3 doses).

Etoposide 100 mg/m² daily by 1 hour i.v. infusion on days 1-5 inclusive (5 doses). (In children Etoposide is to be given as a 4 hour infusion.)

Course 2 **ADE 8+3+5**

Cytosine Arabinoside 100 mg/m 2 12-hourly by i.v. push on days 1-8 inclusive (16 doses).

Dauno rubicin 50 mg/m² daily by slow (1 hour) i.v. infusion on days 1, 3 and 5 (3 doses).

Etoposide 100 mg/m² daily by 1 hour i.v. infusion on days 1-5 inclusive (5 doses). (In children Etoposide is to be given as a 4 hour infusion.)

9.2 DA schedule

Course 1 **DA 3+10**

Version 2: January 2004

Daunorubicin 50 mg/m² daily by slow (1 hour) i.v. infusion on days 1, 3 and 5 (3 doses).

Cytosine Arabinoside 100 mg/m² 12-hourly by i.v. push on days 1-10 inclusive (20 doses).

Course 2 DA 3+8

Daunorubicin 50 mg/m² daily by slow (1 hour) i.v. infusion on days 1, 3 and 5 (3 doses).

Cytosine Arabinoside 100 mg/m² 12-hourly by i.v. push on days 1-8 inclusive (16 doses).

9.3 FLAG-Ida schedule

Course 1 FLAG-Ida

Fludarabine 30 mg/m² daily by 30-minute i.v infusion on days 2-6 inclusive (5 doses).

Cytosine Arabinoside 2 g/m² daily over 4 hours starting 4 hours after Fludarabine on days 2-6 inclusive (5 doses).

G-CSF [Lenograstim 263 μ g (1 vial)] s.c. daily days 1-7 inclusive (7 doses). (In children the dose will be 5 μ g/kg to a maximum of 1 vial given as a 30 minute i.v. infusion).

Idarubicin 8 mg/m² i.v. daily on days 4, 5 and 6 (3 doses). (In children Idarubicin is to be given as a 1 hour infusion).

Course 2 FLAG-Ida

Fludarabine 30 mg/m² daily by 30-minute i.v. infusion on days 2-6 inclusive (5 doses).

Cytosine Arabinoside 2 g/m² daily over 4 hours starting 4 hours after Fludarabine on days 2-6 inclusive (5 doses).

G-CSF [Lenograstim 263 μ g (1 vial)] s.c. daily days 1-7 inclusive (7 doses). (In children the dose will be 5 μ g/kg to a maximum of 1 vial given as a 30 minute i.v. infusion).

Idarubicin 8 mg/m² i.v. daily on days 4, 5 and 6 (3 doses). (In children Idarubicin is to be given as a 1 hour infusion).

NB: Prednisolone eye drops (Predsol 0.5%) should be given during each course of Ara-C 2 $\rm g/m^2$

Once blood counts have recovered after the second course of induction therapy, either the completed "Induction Chemotherapy" form (Section B) from the patient record book should be returned to BCTU, or the data should be entered into the web-based system.

10. MYLOTARG THERAPY DURING INDUCTION

10.1 Administration of Mylotarg

Patients allocated to receive Mylotarg **must not have a white count greater than 30 x 10⁹/I** at the time of Mylotarg administration because of the risk of tumour lysis. Such patients should **either** have the WBC reduced with Hydroxyurea before commencing trial chemotherapy **or** have the administration of Mylotarg delayed until day 4 of the chemotherapy. Patients are only eligible to receive Mylotarg if the **liver function tests do not exceed twice the upper limit of normal**.

Patients should not be given azole antifungal drugs until day 5 after the administration of Mylotarg.

Mylotarg will be given at a dose of **3 mg protein/m² on day 1 of Course 1**. Details of the premedication, and other procedures for Mylotarg administration, are set out in Appendix B.

When a patient is randomised to receive Mylotarg, the Trial Office will activate the arrangement to deliver replacement drug to the centre within 24 hours.

11. RISK GROUP ASSIGNMENT AND ASSESSMENT OF RESPONSE

A bone marrow aspirate to assess remission status should be carried out at 18-21 days after the end of Course 1. If the bone marrow is of adequate cellularity for the assessment of haematopoiesis, the patient's remission status should be ascertained. If the marrow is hypoplastic and assessment of status is not possible, a repeat marrow should be performed after a further 7-10 days and remission status be assessed. In order to achieve a subsidiary aim of the trial (i.e. assessing the relevance of residual cytogenetic or molecular existence of disease in morphological CR) investigators should also request cytogenetic analysis on this sample and, if known to be relevant, molecular analysis. The patient should also be assigned to the appropriate risk group (see Section 11.2).

11.1 Definitions of Complete Remission, Partial Remission and Resistant Disease

Complete Remission (CR): The bone marrow is regenerating normal haemopoietic cells and contains <5% blast cells by morphology.

Partial Remission (PR): The bone marrow is regenerating normal haemopoietic cells and contains between 5 and 15% leukaemic cells.

Resistant Disease (RD): The bone marrow contains >15% leukaemic cells.

11.2 Risk Group Assignment

The definitions of risk group are detailed in Section 4.3 and are summarised below:

Good risk: Any patient with favourable genetic abnormalities — i.e. t(8;21), inv(16)/t(16;16) — irrespective of marrow status after Course 1 or the presence of other genetic abnormalities.

- **Standard risk:** Any patient not in either good risk or poor risk groups i.e. neither favourable nor adverse genetic abnormalities and not more than 15% blasts in the bone marrow after Course 1.
- **Poor risk:** Any patient with more than 15% blasts in the bone marrow performed after Course 1 or with adverse genetic abnormalities -5, -7, del(5q), abn(3q), t(9;22), complex and without favourable genetic abnormalities.
- **NB:** The presence of favourable or adverse genetic abnormalities may be established by any appropriate technique (e.g. cytogenetics, PCR, FISH). Patients without a genetic result cannot be assigned to the good risk group, even if their morphology, e.g. M4_{e0}, is suggestive of good prognosis. Such patients should be discussed with one of the trial coordinators.

Patients should be assigned to the appropriate risk group based on the above criteria by their physician. If there is any doubt about the assignment, please contact one of the trial coordinators.

11.3 Progression Through Induction Therapy

Based on the risk group assignment after Course 1, treatment should continue as follows:

- (i) If defined as poor risk, the patient may either continue with the AML15 protocol see point (v) below – or may be entered into a current high risk AML trial. Note variation for children (Section 23).
- (ii) If defined as good or standard risk, the patient should progress to Course 2 when neutrophils recover to 1.0 x 10⁹/l and platelets to 100 x 10⁹/l. (Note that dates of blood count recovery should be recorded in the data return.)
- (iii) The marrow should be re-assessed at 18-21 days after the end of Course 2 (patients who were confirmed to be in complete remission after Course 1 do not necessarily require a marrow assessment after Course 2 unless they are candidates for minimal residual disease monitoring).
- (iv) After Course 2, when patients in complete remission have regenerated to 1.0 x 10⁹/l neutrophils and 100 x 10⁹/l platelets, they are ready for the second randomisation (see Section 12) and commencement of consolidation treatment, i.e. Course 3 (see Section 13). Standard and poor risk adults, and poor risk children, with a matched sibling donor should be considered for transplant (see Section 16).
- (v) For patients who are not in complete remission after Course 2 treatment will be deemed to have failed. They may be entered into any current NCRI high risk AML trial or, in the case of children, can be entered into the European Poor Risk AML Protocol 2001/01. All patients off protocol will still continue to be followed up within AML15.

11.4 Donor search

As early as possible during the induction phase of treatment (and before Course 3), tissue typing of siblings should be initiated in order to ascertain the availability of an HLA-matched sibling donor. Matching should be carried out on all patients, irrespective of age, who have siblings available.

12. SECOND RANDOMISATION

Note: For this randomisation **Patient Information Sheet 3** and **Consent Form 3** should be used.

It is anticipated that during the life of the trial certain randomisations will not be available on a permanent or temporary basis. If this happens investigators will be informed in advance so that only relevant information is given to the patient during the consent procedure (see Section 5).

12.1 Randomisation Options

The second randomisation is only available to patients who have achieved complete remission within 2 courses and who are not scheduled for SCT. Randomisation will take place **before Course 3**. The randomisation has two components: i) patients may be randomised to receive either standard MRC consolidation chemotherapy (i.e. **MACE then MidAC**) or two courses of **high-dose Ara-C** (with a sub-randomisation between doses of **1.5** g/m² and **3** g/m²) and ii) at the same time, adult patients only may be randomised to receive either **Mylotarg** or **not** as part of consolidation Course 3. If a patient had been previously allocated to Mylotarg in Course 1 and had experienced side-effects which are clearly related to the Mylotarg treatment, they should **not be randomised for Mylotarg in Course in Course**.

3)
3)

Note: It is expected that most patients will be entered into both randomisations. Exceptionally, a clinician may elect to undertake just one or other randomisation. If a patient is not randomised to MRC consolidation versus high-dose Ara-C, they must be given MRC consolidation; if a patient is not randomised to Mylotarg versus not, they must not receive Mylotarg. Despite the availability of this choice to opt out of one or other of the randomisations, participants are strongly encouraged to randomise patients between all six arms when available. However, it is very important that patients should be randomised only between treatment arms that are likely to be feasible and acceptable in the individual case, since non-compliance with the allocated treatment can substantially reduce the power of the trial. Children: Children are not eligible for the Mylotarg randomisation and will only be randomised to MRC consolidation versus Ara-C at 3.0 g/m² – they will not be allocated to Ara-C at 1.5 g/m² (i.e. only Arm F and Arm J will apply).

12.2 Stem Cell Transplantation for Standard and Poor Risk Adults and Poor Risk Children

Standard risk adults and poor risk adults and children, for whom a matched sibling donor is available, should receive an allogeneic SCT if considered fit enough for the procedure. This may be either standard allo-SCT or "mini"-SCT for adults (for full details, see Section 16 for adults and Section 23 for children). If it is decided that a transplant is to be carried out, the patient should not be randomised for consolidation chemotherapy options.

[Autologous Bone marrow harvest and/or peripheral blood stem cell collection are not a mandatory part of the AML15 protocol. Harvest/collection may be undertaken (e.g. for potential use in 2nd CR autograft) at the discretion of the physician].

12.3 Timing of Second Randomisation

Statistically, it is preferable for the second randomisation to take place as close as possible to the start of Course 3, and ideally on the day that Course 3 is scheduled to start. This will reduce non-compliance, which would have an adverse impact on the power of the trial.

Although randomisation will be carried out as close to Course 3 as possible, it is recommended that the options available are discussed with the patient at an earlier stage, e.g. during induction therapy, in order to ensure that the patient has plenty of time to consider the options and arrive at an informed decision. This should reduce the risk of non-compliance with allocated treatment.

12.4 Information Required at Second Randomisation

Before carrying out the second randomisation please make sure that:

- (a) The patient's risk group is known (see Section 11.2).
- (b) It has been decided whether the patient is willing to be randomised between MRC consolidation chemotherapy and high-dose Ara-C (at one of two doses).
- (c) It has been decided whether the patient is willing to be randomised between Mylotarg versus not during consolidation, and that the liver function tests do not exceed twice the upper limit of normal.

For second randomisations: (i) telephone the BCTU (Tel: 0800 953 0274) during office hours (09:00 to 17:00 hrs, Monday to Friday); (ii) internet randomisation is available seven days a week at: <u>https://www.trials.bham.ac.uk/aml15</u>

Treatment allocation will be given once the following patient details have been supplied:

- AML15 trial number (or full name and date of birth).
- Confirmation that the patient has received two courses of induction therapy, is in complete remission and is not scheduled for SCT.

- Patient's risk group (good, standard, poor see Section 11.2 for definitions).
- Whether the patient is to be randomised between MRC consolidation chemotherapy versus Ara-C chemotherapy (if not, MRC therapy must be elected).
- Whether the patient is to be randomised between Mylotarg versus not (if not, Mylotarg must not be given).
- In order to be entered into Mylotarg randomisation liver function tests must not exceed twice normal.

13. CONSOLIDATION CHEMOTHERAPY: Courses 3 and 4

MACE

Each consolidation schedule compromises two courses of chemotherapy. The consolidation chemotherapy to be given depends on the allocation at second randomisation (or the elected therapy, if not randomised).

Note: All drug doses should be reduced by 25% for children aged less than one year.

13.1 MRC Consolidation

Course 3

Amsacrine 100 mg/m² daily by 1 hour i.v. infusion (in 5% dextrose) on days 1-5 inclusive (5 doses).

Cytosine Arabinoside 200 mg/m² daily by continuous i.v. infusion on days 1-5 inclusive.

Etoposide 100 mg/m² daily by 1 hour i.v. infusion on days 1-5 inclusive (5 doses). (In children Etoposide is to be given as a 4 hour infusion.)

Note: Amsacrine must not be infused in 0.9% sodium chloride: precipitation or flocculation occurs. This will necessitate a 2-hour interruption of the Cytosine Arabinoside infusion.

Where venous access is limited to a single lumen line a 2 hour (adults) or 5 hour (children) interruption to the Ara-C infusion may be required to enable the Amsacrine and Etopside administration.

Course 4 MidAC Mitoxantrone 10 mg/m² daily by slow (1 hour) i.v. infusion on days 1-5 inclusive (5 doses). Cytosine Arabinoside 1.0 g/m² 12-hourly by 2-hour i.v. infusion on days 1-3 inclusive (6 doses).

13.2 High-dose Ara-C (1.5 g/m²)

Course 3 Cytosine Arabinoside 1.5 g/m² 12-hourly by 4 hour i.v. infusion on days 1, 3 and 5 (6 doses).

13.3 High-dose Ara-C (3.0 g/m²)

Course 3	Cytosine Arabinoside 3.0 g/m^2 12-hourly by 4 hour i.v. infusion on days 1, 3 and 5 (6 doses).
Course 4	Cytosine Arabinoside 3.0 g/m ^{2} 12-hourly by 4 hour i.v. infusion on days 1, 3 and 5 (6 doses).

Note: Prednisolone (0.5% Predsol) eye drops should be used during each course of highdose Ara-C, and during the course of MidAC which also contains high-dose Ara-C, and be continued for 5 days after the course finishes.

In children under 1 year the Ara-C dose should be calculated on body weight i.e. the $3.0g/m^2$ will be calculated as 100mg/kg.

In all arms, Course 4 should be given once counts have recovered to 1.0×10^{9} /l neutrophils and 100×10^{9} /l platelets following Course 3. Delay in count recovery regularly occurs, and problem cases should be discussed with the coordinators.

Once blood counts have recovered after the fourth course of chemotherapy, the completed "Consolidation" form (Section C) from the patient record book should be returned to BCTU, or transmitted on the web report, unless the patient is randomised to receive a fifth course

14. MYLOTARG THERAPY DURING CONSOLIDATION

14.1 Administration of Mylotarg

Patients are only eligible to receive Mylotarg if the liver function tests do not exceed twice normal. If a patient had been previously allocated to Mylotarg in Course 1 and had experienced side-effects which were attributed to Mylotarg, they should **not be randomised for Mylotarg in consolidation.** Mylotarg will be given at a dose of 3 mg protein/m² on day 1 of Course 3. Details of the premedication, and other procedures for Mylotarg administration, are set out in Appendix B.

15. THIRD RANDOMISATION

Note: For this randomisation **Patient Information Sheet 4** and **Consent Form 4** should be used.

Patients who have completed four courses of treatment are eligible to be randomised to receive a fifth course or not. This should be initiated once the neutrophil count has exceeded 1.0×10^9 /l and the platelet count has exceeded 80×10^9 /l.

For third randomisations: (i) telephone the BCTU (tel: 0800 953 0274) during office hours (09:00 to 17:00 hrs, Monday to Friday), or (ii) internet randomisation is available seven days a week at: <u>https://www.trials.bham.ac.uk/aml15</u>

Treatment allocation will be given once the following patient details have been supplied:

- AML15 trial number (or full name and date of birth).
- Confirmation that the patient has received four courses of chemotherapy and remains in first complete remission.

15.1 Late Consolidation Therapy: Course 5

- **Course 5** Cytosine Arabinoside 1.5 g/m² 12-hourly by 4 hour i.v. infusion on days 1, 3 and 5 (6 doses).
- **Note:** Prednisolone (0.5% Predsol) eye drops should be used during the course and be continued for 5 days after the course finishes.

In children under 1 year the Ara-C dose should be calculated on body weight i.e. the $1.5g/m^2$ will be 50mg/kg.

Once blood counts have recovered after the fifth course of chemotherapy, the completed "Consolidation" form (Section C) from the patient record book should either be returned to BCTU or completed using the web based data entry system.

16. STEM CELL TRANSPLANTATION

The protocol provides for allogeneic transplantation for all adult patients with an HLA-matched sibling donor who are **not** designated as good risk, and for children designated as poor risk. As soon as a potential donor is identified the transplant centre should be informed. The transplant should be carried out 6-8 weeks after the final course of chemotherapy. The type of transplant and the transplant protocol will be determined by the transplant centre's usual policy. As a guide based on prior evidence:

- 1. Patients <35 years should receive a conventional allogeneic transplant with Cyclophosphamide and Total Body Irradiation (8 x 180cGy fractions). [For children aged less than 2 years, conditioning should be with Busulphan and Cyclophosphamide]. (See Appendix C).
- 2. Patients 35-44 years can receive a conventional allogeneic transplant or a "mini" allograft depending on investigator or patient choice.
- 3. Patients =45 years should receive a "mini" allograft.

16.1 Conventional Allogeneic Transplantation

If the patient meets the criteria of the transplant centre, he/she will receive the transplant **as Course 3**. The most widely used myeloablative schedule is Cyclophosphamide and Total Body Irradiation (8 x 180 cGy). The source of stem cells can be bone marrow or peripheral blood. If peripheral blood is used, a dose of at least 4 x 10⁶ CD34 cells/kg should be given. Graft versus host prophylaxis will be determined by the transplant centre, but the most widely used is Methotrexate and Cyclosporin. Patients receiving a conventional allogeneic transplant are eligible to enter the NCRI AML Transplant Study organised by the British Society for Blood and Bone Marrow Transplant (contact Dr David Marks, Consultant Paediatrician, Bristol Royal Hospital for Sick Children, St Michael's Hill, Bristol, BS2 8BJ). Patients who enter that study will be required to give separate informed consent. It is required that patients who receive a transplant will provide written consent in line with the transplant centre policy. The patient will remain part of the AML15 trial for the purpose of follow-up. Children intended for SCT will have a conventional allograft following UKCCSG Protocols (Section 23).

16.2 Mini-allografting

Patients who will receive a mini-allograft must first receive **MACE as Course 3** (see Section 13.1) and the **mini-allograft as Course 4**. The mini-allograft should only be carried out at centres with experience of this approach and should **not be carried out in centres who do not perform conventional allografts**. The precise protocol to be used in the AML15 trial will be prescribed and, as the field develops over the next five years, will be subject to changes in light of experience.

Transplant centres initially may choose one of two mini-allograft protocols:

FBC Protocol:

Fludarabine30 mg/m²/daydays –9 to –5 inclusiveBusulphan4 mg/kg/daydays –3 and –2Campath 1H20 mg/day i.v.days –5 to –1 inclusive(use of phenytoin and low molecular weight heparin for VOD prophylaxis is optional)

Fludara, Melphalan, Campath (UCL) Protocol:

Fludarabine	30 mg/m ² /day	days –7 to –3 inclusive
Melphalan	140 mg/m ²	on day –2
Campath 1H	20 mg/day	days –8 to –4 inclusive

Since patient and donor will require time to be counselled about the transplant option which may be delivered as early as course 3, investigators are encouraged to identify donor availability as soon as possible after diagnosis.

On completion of the transplant the completed "Transplant" form (Section D) from the data return should be returned to BCTU or entered via the web-based system.

16.3 Collection of Autologous Stem Cells

Autologous stem cell transplantation is not part of the AML15 trial. However investigators may wish to collect stem cells during treatment for use in second remission. If peripheral blood cells are collected, mobilisation should take place

after Course 2 if the patient was confirmed to be in CR after Course 1, and after Course 3 if remission was not confirmed until after Course 2. A minimum collection of 2 x 10^6 CD34 cells/kg should be collected. Mobilisation should be attempted using G-CSF [(Lenograstim, 263µg (1 vial)] daily for a maximum 10 days starting day +8 from the end of the chemotherapy course.

If bone marrow cells are to be collected, the harvest should take place immediately before Course 4 and forwarded for MRD assessment if appropriate.

17. ACUTE PROMYELOCYTIC LEUKAEMIA

Patients may enter this part of the protocol at diagnosis with *de novo* or secondary acute promyelocytic leukaemia (APL) recognised morphologically as FAB-M3. Molecular genetic confirmation is required by either: i) cytogenetics, ii) demonstration of PML-RAR α rearrangement by PCR, or iii) the characteristic staining with the PML antibody. Diagnostic bone marrow and peripheral blood from all patients with suspected APL should be sent to Helen Walker at UCH, London Tissue Bank (for contact details see page ii). Investigators will be contacted by the laboratory of Dr David Grimwade within 2 weeks to co-ordinate MRD assessment (contact details, page ii). Confirmation of the molecular lesion is important because a non-APL case allocated to the 'Spanish' arm may be under treated. Patients who enter the APL part of this trial will be eligible for minimal residual disease (MRD) monitoring (Section 18) and will be assessed for Quality of Life and Health Economics impact (Section 17.8 and 17.9).

17.1 ATRA Therapy

All patients with APL should receive ATRA therapy as follows:

45 mg/m²/day starting on day 1 of induction therapy until first CR is achieved or

until completion of 2 courses of chemotherapy.

If the patient is not in CR after Course 2, ATRA will be discontinued. Such patients may benefit from Arsenic Trioxide therapy (see section 17.11).

Patients who present with a WBC >10 $\times 10^{9}$ /l should receive steroid (Dexamethasone 10 mg i.v. 12-hourly) for the first 5 days of chemotherapy as prophylaxis against retinoic acid syndrome (see Section 17.10.1). Leucophoresis is not indicated. Heparin and Tranexamic Acid should not be routinely used.

Patients in the Spanish arm will also receive ATRA on days 1-15 of Courses 3 and 4.

17.2 First Randomisation

Note: For this randomisation, **Patient Information Sheet 5** and **Consent Form 5** should be used.

Patients will be randomised to receive the **MRC** treatment schedule or the **Spanish** treatment schedule. Details of the information to be provided at entry are given in Section 8.2.2.

NB: Children will not be randomised, but will be treated by the MRC approach

17.3 MRC Treatment

Patients should receive 4 courses of intensive chemotherapy. This will consist of two courses of induction with **ADE** followed by two courses of consolidation with **MACE** and **MidAC**. These will be administered as detailed in Sections 9 and 13.1. Patients will also be randomised to receive Mylotarg or not with Course 3 (see 17.6). Patients should have a bone marrow assessment after each course to assess molecular response (see Section 18).

17.4 Spanish Treatment

Course 1

Idarubicin 12 mg/m² by short (10 minute) i.v. infusion on days 2, 4, 6 and 8 (4 doses).

ATRA will be given until CR (see Section 17.1).

Following recovery of neutrophils to 1.0×10^9 /l and platelets to 100×10^9 /l patients will receive Course 2.

Bone marrow assessment should be carried out 18-23 days from the end of Course 1 and should include material for minimal residual disease (see Section 18). The marrow response should be documented.

Course 2

Idarubicin 7 mg/m² by short (10 minute) i.v. infusion on days 1, 2, 3, 4 (4 doses).

ATRA 45 mg/m² /day on days 1-15 (15 doses) if patient is in CR after Course 1, otherwise ATRA until CR.

Following recovery of neutrophils to 1.0×10^9 /l and platelets to 100×10^9 /l patients will receive Course 3.

Course 3

Mitoxantrone 10 mg/m² as a 30 minute infusion on days 1-5 inclusive (5 doses).

Mylotarg 3 mg/m² on day 1 if allocated (see second randomisation – Section 17.6 and Appendix B).

ATRA 45 mg/m²/day on days 1-15 (15 doses).

Following recovery of neutrophils to 1.0×10^9 /l and platelets to 100×10^9 /l patients will receive course 4.

Course 4

Idarubicin 12 mg/m² as a short (10 minute) i.v. infusion on day 1 only (1 dose).

ATRA 45 mg/m²/day on days 1-15 (15 doses).

Note: After each course of treatment blood and bone marrow should be sent for minimal residual disease monitoring (see Section 18).

17.5 Second Randomisation

Note: For this randomisation, **Patient Information Sheet 6** and **Consent Form 6** should be used.

Following completion of Courses 1 and 2 patients are eligible for the second randomisation.

Patients (adults only) will be randomised to receive Mylotarg or not during Course 3.

For second randomisations, telephone the BCTU (Tel: 0800 953 0274) during office hours (09:00 to 17:00 hrs, Monday to Friday).

Treatment allocation will be given once the following patient details have been supplied:

- AML15 trial number (or full name and date of birth).
- Confirmation that the patient has received two courses of induction therapy, and is in complete remission.
- Confirmation that liver function tests are within twice the upper limit of normal.

17.6 Mylotarg Treatment

Patients are only eligible to receive Mylotarg if the liver function tests do not exceed twice the normal range. Mylotarg will be given at a dose of 3 mg protein/m² on day 1 of Course 3. Details of the premedication, and other procedures for Mylotarg administration, are set out in Appendix B.

17.7 Maintenance Therapy

Maintenance therapy is only given with the Spanish treatment and should commence following full haemopoietic recovery from Course 4 (neutrophils > 1.5×10^{9} /l and platelets > 100×10^{9} /l), but not less than 1 month after Course 4. Marrow reassessment for molecular assessment is essential. If RT-PCR is positive, the patient is eligible for treatment as a high-risk patient (see Section 17.11).

- **6-Mercaptopurine** (6-MP), 50 mg/m²/day orally. The dose will be adjusted according to toxicity during the follow-up period. The treatment must be continued for two years.
- **Methotrexate** (MTX), 15 mg/m² weekly intramuscularly, starting one month after recovery from the last consolidation. The dose will be adjusted according to toxicity during the follow-up period. This weekly treatment must be continued for two years.

• **ATRA**, 45 mg/m²/day orally, for 15 days every three months for 2 years. The first ATRA maintenance course will begin four months after recovery from the last consolidation course. During the days of ATRA administration, the treatment with MTX and 6-MP will be discontinued.

Modification of the Maintenance Treatment

The doses of Methotrexate and 6-Mercaptopurine will be modified as a function of the peripheral blood counts:

- WBC between 2.5 and 3.5×10^9 /l: dose reduction by 50%.
- WBC <2.5 x 10^{9} /I: temporary discontinuation of maintenance.

17.8 Quality of Life Assessments

All patients in the APL component of the trial will have a Quality of Life assessment at **baseline**, **3**, **6**, **12 and 24 months** from diagnosis. This will take the form of the QLQC-30 questionnaire. This will be sent to the investigator at the appropriate times, who should arrange for the patient to receive it. The patient will complete the questionnaire and return it (Freepost) to the BCTU.

17.9 Health Economics Assessment

Information will be collected on all patients as surrogates for resource use. This will include time to neutrophil and platelet recovery, days in hospital, blood product usage, and days on antibiotics. This will be collected by the data collection system (internet or record books).

In addition selected patients will be asked to complete a patient diary concerning medical events. For patients who are selected the diary will be sent to the investigator.

17.10 Treatment Modification

During induction treatment, ATRA may be temporarily discontinued in the presence of one of the following complications: ATRA syndrome, pseudotumour cerebri, hepatotoxicity.

17.10.1 ATRA Syndrome

This is accurately defined by the presence of: unexplained fever, weight gain, respiratory distress, interstitial pulmonary infiltrates, and pleural or pericardial effusion, with or without hyperleukocytosis No single sign or symptom itself may be considered diagnostic of the syndrome. However, at the earliest manifestations of suspected ATRA Syndrome (e.g. unexplained respiratory distress), and prior to development of a full blown syndrome, the following measures should be immediately undertaken:

- temporary discontinuation of ATRA treatment.
- prompt initiation of DEXAMETHASONE, 10 mg i.v. 12-hourly until disappearance of symptoms and signs, and for a minimum of 3 days.
- frusemide when clinically required.

17.10.2 Pseudotumour Cerebri

Version 2: January 2004

This is defined as presence of: severe headaches with nausea, vomiting, and visual disorders. In this case, generally developing in patients under 20 years of age, it is often necessary to temporarily discontinue ATRA treatment and to administer opiates.

17.10.3 Hepatotoxicity

This is defined as: an increase in serum bilirubin, AST/ALT, or alkaline phosphatase >5 times the normal upper level. This requires a temporary suspension of the ATRA. The Idarubicin doses should not be changed if on the Spanish schedule.

As soon as the symptoms and the patient's clinical condition improves, treatment with ATRA will be resumed at 50% of the previous dose during the first 4 days after the disappearance of retinoic acid syndrome, amelioration of pseudotumour cerebri or when serum bilirubin, AST/ALT or alkaline phosphatases are reduced to <4 times the normal upper level. Thereafter, in absence of worsening of the previous toxicity, ATRA should be resumed at full dosage.

In case of reappearance of signs and symptoms of ATRA toxicity, the drug must be discontinued indefinitely during induction therapy. However, patients who enter the maintenance phase of the Spanish schedule should receive ATRA where possible.

17.11 Treatment of High Risk APL (relapse, molecular relapse, persistent MRD positivity)

Initial treatment of APL may fail, in which case patients will either relapse or be at high risk of relapse. In this study adult patients who relapse, or who are deemed to be at high risk of relapse based on molecular data, should be treated with Arsenic Trioxide. It is anticipated that during the course of the trial molecular criteria will become more precise as a result of the monitoring data (Section 18). As this evidence emerges investigators will be informed of patients who are considered high risk and who should be offered further treatment.

17.11.1 Treatment of High Risk APL

It is recommended that patients who are designated as high risk either because of molecular persistence or recurrence or haematological relapse are treated with Arsenic Trioxide (ATO). This should initially be given on an inpatient basis, but can be continued as an outpatient

Patients should have an ECG assessment before and up to twice weekly during treatment to ensure that the QT interval does not exceed 460 msec. Drugs which can prolong the QT interval should be avoided (for a list of such drugs visit www.torsades.org). During therapy the serum potassium must be kept above 4mEq/l

www.torsades.org). During therapy the serum potassium must be kept above 4mEq/I and the serum magnesium above 1.8mg/dl.

During treatment the patient may develop signs of the "differention syndrome" or hyperleukocytosis and should be treated as recommended for the "ATRA" syndrome (see section 17.10.1) If hepatic or renal function is abnormal then consider interrupting the treatment and/or consult with a trial coordinator.

Patients should have molecular assessments (Dr David Grimwade).

Induction Treatment

One of two treatment schedules can be followed for induction:

- A) 0.15mg/kg daily by a slow (1-2 hour) infusion for a maximum of 60 days.
- B) 0.30mg/kg daily for 5 days followed by 0.25mg/kg twice weekly for up to 7weeks

Patients should have a marrow re-assessment at 30 days which should include molecular assessment. If the patient is in morphological and molecular remission they should enter consolidation treatment. If the patient is not in haematological remission or is in haematological but not molecular remission two doses of Idarubicin (10mg/m²) should be added on 2 consecutive days and the morphological and molecular status rechecked 2 weeks later. The ATO treatment should be continued at the induction dose. When the patient is then confirmed to be in haematological but not necessarily molecular remission they should enter the consolidation phase.

Consolidation Treatment

When the patient is confirmed to be in haematological remission, but not necessarily molecular remission, they should receive an additional 4 weeks of consolidation treatment with the same schedule as chosen for induction. At the end of this period the marrow should be re-assessed for molecular response.

If the patient has achieved a molecular remission arrangements should be made to undertake autologous stem cell transplant. Patients who remain in remission but are molecularly positive should be assessed for allogeneic stem cell transplant. If no transplant option is available the patient should commence maintenance chemotherapy as described in protocol section 17.7.

18. ARRANGEMENTS FOR MOLECULAR SCREENING AND MINIMAL RESIDUAL DISEASE MONITORING

All diagnostic material will be collected into the MRC AML tissue bank, from where it will be analysed for the studies described and also for future research. Investigators should note that patients must consent to this donation and documentation concerning this is included in the main trial consent documentation.

The Tissue Bank is under the direction of Professor David Linch but material can be deposited in six additional sites under the aegis of this bank:

Ms Helen Walker Department of Haematology and Blood Transfusion UCLH 2nd Floor 60 Whitfield Street LONDON W1T 4EU Tel: 020 7387 9300 (Ext: 8519) Fax: 020 7380 9911 Email: <u>helen.walker@uclh.org</u> Ms Louise Truran Department of Haematology 7th Floor University Hospital of Wales Heath Park Cardiff CF14 4XN Tel: 02920 744524 Fax: 02920 744655 Email: TruranLN@Cardiff.ac.uk Professor J A L Yin Department of Haematology Manchester Royal Infirmary Oxford Road Manchester M13 9WL Tel: 0161 276 4802 (direct to Sec) Fax: 0161 276 4814 Email: john.yin@cmmc.nhs.uk

Mr Ian Carter Department of Haematology Nottingham City Hospital Hucknell Road Nottingham NG5 1PB Tel: 0115 969 1169 x 45719 Fax: 0115 962 7742 Email: icarter@ncht.trent.nhs.uk

Dr Mike Griffiths Regional Genetics Laboratory Birmingham Women's Hospital Edgbaston Birmingham B15 2TG Tel: 0121 627 2710 Fax: 0121 627 2711 E-mail: mike.griffiths@bwhct.nhs.uk Dr Paul Evans Haematological Malignancy Diagnostic Services Algernon Firth Building University of Leeds Leeds LS1 3EX Tel: 0113 243 2799 Fax: 0113 233 3404 Email: paul.evans@leedsth.nhs.uk

Ms Gill Wilson Department of Haematology Royal Hallamshire Hospital Glossop Road Sheffield S10 2JF Tel: 0114 271 3339 Fax: 0114 271 3201 Email: <u>gill.wilson@sch.nhs.uk</u>

Patients will be characterised by the screening process (see Section 4.7.1) as suitable for MRD monitoring, which will be led by different molecular laboratories. Investigators will be informed if their patient is suitable for monitoring before the marrow assessment after Course 1.

PML-RAR α Rearrangements:

Dr David Grimwade Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics 8th Floor, Guy's Tower Guy's Hospital London SE1 9RT Tel: 0207 955 5000 Ext: 5585 Fax: 0207 955 8762 Email: <u>david.grimwade@kcl.ac.uk</u>

Note: Please address all APL samples for diagnosis and MRD assessment to Mrs Helen Walker

(see p.ii for address).

AML1-ETO, CBF β -MYHII rearrangements and WT1 expression:

Dr Khalid Tobal Department of Haematology Manchester Royal Infirmary Oxford Road Manchester M13 9WL Tel: 0161 276 4137 Fax: 0161 276 4814 Email: <u>khalid.tobal@cmmc.nhs.uk</u>

Prof J A L Yin Department of Haematology Manchester Royal Infirmary Oxford Road Manchester M13 9WL Tel: 0161 276 4802 (direct to Sec) Fax: 0161 276 4814 Email: john.yin@cmmc.nhs.uk

18.1 Frequency of Monitoring

On entering the trial, it should be explained to patients that, if it emerges that the leukaemia cells have an appropriate molecular lesion, they will be invited to participate in the molecular disease monitoring. Once patients have been identified, investigators will be alerted by the molecular monitoring group (Dr. Grimwade /Professor Yin). The intention is to monitor patients (blood and bone marrow) after each course of chemotherapy, 3-monthly in year 1, 4-monthly in year 2 and 6-monthly in year 3. The frequency of monitoring may change during the trial – but will not be increased.

19. MANAGEMENT OF HIGH RISK, REFRACTORY OR RELAPSED PATIENTS

Patients who are defined as high risk (see definition in Section 4.3 and 11.2) after Course 1, who do not achieve morphological CR after Course 2, or who relapse, are eligible for any current NCRI high risk trial. It is permissible for patients defined as high risk, but who are in morphological CR after Course 1 to continue with the AML15 protocol. APL patients who relapse morphologically or are designated as having a high risk molecular response should be managed as described in the APL section of this protocol (see Section 17.11).

Children who are defined as high risk or who fail to achieve CR after 2 courses or who relapse may leave the trial and enter the European Paediatric Poor Risk Trial 2001/01.

20. SUPPORTIVE CARE

The remission induction and consolidation phases of therapy are intensive and will be associated with a risk of infection and haemorrhage. The care of patients will make stringent demands on supportive care. Some information regarding aspects of supportive care will be collected in the patient record books, since this will be one factor to be taken into account in assessing the schedules.

Participants should have local supportive care protocols. It is considered that policies related to the following aspects should be decided in advance to ensure that treatment-related complications are minimised.

- 1. Venous access via Hickman-type catheter
- 2. Control of nausea and vomiting
- 3. Mouth care
- 4. Prophylactic gut decontamination
- 5. Antifungal prophylaxis
- 6. Response to a significant pyrexia i.e. two readings of =38°C two hours apart, or a single reading =39°C.
- 7. Antibiotic treatment of febrile episodes including antibiotic choice(s) and monitoring, duration of therapy, and the treatment of non-response.
- G-CSF therapy [Lenograstim 263 µg (1 vial) S.C. daily] may be given in case of prolonged neutropenia but it is **not** intended that it should be part of routine supportive care.
- 9. Irradiated blood products should be given to patients who receive Fludarabine or Stem Cell Transplant.

21. CNS TREATMENT

21.1 Adults

The routine administration of treatment to the central nervous system is not recommended for patients with no evidence of CNS disease at diagnosis.

Patients who present with CNS disease may be entered into the trial and be randomised at the same points as patients without obvious CNS involvement. If a patient presents with physical signs suggesting CNS disease, an intrathecal injection of Cytosine Arabinoside (50 mg) should be given when the diagnostic lumbar puncture is performed. If blast cells are identified in the CSF sample, a series of intrathecal injections with Cytosine Arabinoside should be given on 3 days each week until CSF samples are clear. This may need to be modified if the platelet count is very low or coagulation is abnormal. Thereafter treatment should be repeated at intervals of approximately 2 weeks until consolidation treatment has been completed.

21.2 Children

A lumbar puncture should be performed at the time of diagnosis in all children. CNS disease is defined by the presence of >5 x 10^6 /l leukaemic blasts in a CSF cytospin preparation.

All children who enter the Paediatric AML Poor Risk Protocol 2001/01 after Course 2 or who relapse on treatment should complete their CNS therapy already started on AML15 as outlined below under '**No CNS disease**' if they have not already done so. Patients who relapse after completing CNS prophylaxis need not have further CNS prophylaxis but should have a diagnostic lumbar puncture performed to exclude active CNS disease. Patients found on diagnostic LP to have active CNS disease for the first time should follow the protocol (see below) for '**CNS**

disease at diagnosis'. Patients relapsing in the CNS with active CNS disease for a second time should be discussed with the trial co-ordinators.

i. No CNS disease

If there is no evidence of CNS disease at diagnosis patients should receive a total of two courses of "triple" Intrathecal chemotherapy, one after each of the first two courses of chemotherapy.

AGE (years)	METHOTREXATE	CYTARABINE	HYDROCORTISONE
<1	5mg	15mg	5mg
1	7.5mg	20mg	7.5mg
2	10mg	25mg	10mg
3+	12.5mg	30mg	12.5mg

Triple Therapy

ii. CNS disease at diagnosis

If CNS disease is present at diagnosis, patients should receive two courses of "triple" intrathecal therapy (as in Section 22.2.1 above) each week until the CNS is clear plus two further courses. A minimum of six courses should be given in a period of three weeks following diagnosis.

This intensive phase is followed by monthly courses of the same "triple" therapy until after the final course of systemic chemotherapy has been completed.

Children aged 2 years or over with CNS disease not receiving allo-SCT should receive cranial irradiation (2400 cGy) after the final course of chemotherapy.

The need for cranial irradiation in children presenting with CNS disease and still less than 2 years old on completion of systemic chemotherapy should be discussed with the trial coordinators.

22. RELAPSE

Relapse will be diagnosed either on morphological or cytogenetic grounds. Studies will be conducted within AML15 to assess the significance of detecting recurring disease by molecular genetics. The management of disease detected molecularly, in the absence of morphological or cytogenetic relapse is unclear and should be discussed with the coordinators.

Adults who relapse from first CR **should be entered into the current NCRI high risk AML trial** if an attempt to induce second remission is considered appropriate.

The "Relapse" form (Section F) from the patient's AML15 record book should be completed giving details of the relapse, subsequent therapy and its outcome. This form should be returned to BCTU when all the necessary data are available.

23. MODIFICATIONS FOR CHILDREN

There are a few differences between adults and children with regard to the AML15 protocol. These are indicated in the relevant sections and are summarised below.

23.1 Treatment Variations

Children with APL or Down syndrome are not eligible for AML15, as there are no randomisations available for them. They can, however, be registered with BCTU. Registration must take place at diagnosis prior to starting induction chemotherapy. These children will be followed up outside the AML15 trial for outcome (CR, relapse, death). Guidelines for the treatment of these patients are available from the paediatric coordinators or Trial Office.

Children are **not** currently eligible for either the induction or consolidation Mylotarg randomisation.

Children will only be randomised between MRC consolidation and high-dose Ara-C at 3.0 g/m².

Only poor risk children are eligible for allogeneic SCT, which may be sibling or unrelated.

SCT will follow the recommendation of the UKCCSG BMT Subcommittee (copy available from Trial Office).

Cardiotoxicity should be assessed using the UKCCSG guidelines (copy available from the Trial Office).

If a patient is not in CR after Course 2, he/she is off protocol and can enter the European Paediatric AML Poor Risk Protocol 2001/01.

All children will receive CNS prophylaxis (Section 21.2).

Etoposide should be administered as a 4 hour infusion.

Idarubicin should be administered as a 1 hour infusion.

G-CSF should be given intravenously.

Add on studies will include an investigation of the Fanconi Anemia Pathway in childhood AML (Dr S Meyer, Manchester) and the DCLSG studies will be carried on from AML12.

23.2 Management Group

Clinical Coordinators

Dr Brenda Gibson Royal Hospital for Sick Children Yorkhill Glasgow G3 8SJ Tel: 0141 201 0675 Fax: 0141 201 0857 Email: Brenda.Gibson@yorkhill.scot.nhs.uk

Professor Tony Oakhill Bristol Royal Hospital for Sick Children St Michael's Hill Bristol BS2 8BJ Tel: 0117 3428044 Fax: 0117 3428628 Email: anthony.oakhill@nildram.co.uk Dr Andrew Will Royal Manchester Children's Hospital Hospital Road Pendlebury Manchester M27 4HA Tel: 0161 7944696 Fax: 0161 727 2545 Email: andrew.will@btinternet.com

Morphology:

Mrs J Britton Department of Haematology Sheffield Children's Hospital Western Bank Sheffield S20 2TH Tel: 0114 271 7230 Fax: 0114 276 2289 Email: jenny.britton@sch.nhs,uk

Cytogenetics Coordination

Dr Christine Harrison Cancer Sciences Division MP822 Duthie Building Southampton General Hospital Tremona Road Southampton SO16 6YD Tel: 02380 798783 Fax: 02380 793839 Email: <u>Harrison@soton.ac.uk</u>

24. STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

24.1 Patient numbers

Over the last 40 years, 5-year survival of younger patients in MRC AML trials has gone from 0% in AML4 to about 45% in AML12. This dramatic improvement, which has changed AML from an invariably fatal disease into a potentially curable one, has been achieved not by any single major advance but through a series of small, but nonetheless important, increases in survival over a number of trials. It is therefore unrealistic to expect any of the treatment options in AML15 to lead to improvements in survival of more than 10%, while even a 5% increase would be worth knowing about. In order to be able to detect improvements of this order, large trials are needed. For example, to demonstrate, at a 2-tailed p=0.05, a proportional improvement of about 20% in five-year survival from 45% on one treatment to 55% on the other (a 10% absolute difference) requires approximately 1000 patients to have a 90% chance of detecting this difference. If, however, a smaller — but still worthwhile — 15% proportional improvement in survival from 45% to 52% is to be detected, this would require approximately 3000 patients to have a 90% chance of detecting this difference.

There are approximately 700 cases of AML under the age of 60 diagnosed each year in the British Isles, of whom about 15% have the APL sub-type. It is hoped that the majority of suitable patients will be entered into the trial. Indeed, towards the end of AML12, over 500 patients were entered annually. Thus, if AML15 can recruit successfully for about 5 years, over 2500 patients will be entered.

Over 2000 of these patients will not have APL and could be randomised to the new induction options. Three primary comparisons are specified: ADE versus FLAG-Ida, ADE versus DA, and Mylotarg versus not. With at least 1000 patients in each comparison, this will give good power to detect differences between these treatments: 90% to detect at 2p=0.05 a 10% difference in survival (from 45% to 55% at 5 years). If during the course of the trial it becomes clear that sufficient patients have been randomised to answer one of the questions (see Section 24.2, DMEC), the trial could be modified to introduce one or more new induction questions.

In AML12, there was considerable drop-out of patients before the consolidation randomisations, so accrual to these randomisations was about 200 patients per annum. With earlier randomisation in AML15 (after Course 2, not Course 3), there will be less patient drop out. However, there will be patients with a sibling donor who will be going down the allograft route and will not be available for the chemotherapy randomisations. Taking this into account it is still envisaged that at least 1000 patients will be entered into the AML15 consolidation randomisations (MACE+MidAC versus HD-Ara-C 1.5 g/m² versus HD-Ara-C 3.0 g/m²; Mylotarg versus not). This will give 90% power to detect (at 2p=0.05) a 10% difference in survival (60% to 70%) in the MACE + MidAC versus HD-Ara-C (at either dose) and Mylotarg versus control comparisons, and about 70% power to detect a similar difference in the comparison of HD-Ara-C at 1.5 g/m² versus HD-Ara-C 3.0 g/m². To reduce the potential for multiple testing, two primary comparisons have been specified: MACE + MIDAC v. HD-Ara-C (at either dose), with a subsidiary comparison of the two Ara-C doses. Patients will be allocated to MACE + MidAC, HD-Åra-C 1.5 g/m² and HD-Ara-C 3.0 g/m² in a 2:1:1 ratio (children will be allocated to MACE + MidAC and HD-Ara-C 3.0 g/m^2 in a 1:1 ratio).

By the time of the 4 v. 5 courses randomisation, there will have been further patient drop out, so it is unlikely that this comparison will accrue more than 750 patients in total (150 per year), giving about 80% power to detect a 10% survival difference. This randomisation is a continuation of the similar one in AML12, so meta-analysis of the two studies will have over 2000 patients and thus very good power to detect any worthwhile difference in survival.

It is estimated that at least 400 patients will have a sibling donor and will be considered suitable for allogeneic transplant, with a much larger number having no suitable donor. These numbers will give a power of at least 80% to detect (at 2p=0.05) a 10% survival difference in the donor versus no donor biological randomisation.

For the APL question, about 375 patients (75 each year) will be available. With this number, there will be reasonable power to investigate the quality of life and economic aspects of the comparison. The power to detect a 10% difference in survival between MRC and Spanish approaches will only be about 60%, although the power to detect a 15% difference will be about 90%. APL patients are eligible

for the Mylotarg consolidation randomisation, so will help increase the power of this comparison.

In the absence of interactions between treatments, the sample size for each comparison in a factorial design is not different from that in a simple two arm trial addressing (inefficiently) a single question. Empirical evidence from previous MRC AML trials suggests that such interactions are rare, but if they were to be observed the sample size would need to be adjusted upwards somewhat. Given the good power for most comparisons, this will not be a problem.

It is not realistic to expect any of the treatments in AML15 to improve outcome by more than 10%, and a moderate benefit of this magnitude would nevertheless be clinically important. The trial will be monitored regularly by the DMEC (see Section 25) so, if clear differences were to be observed in one or more of the comparisons, they would bring this to the attention of the Trial Steering Committee.

Most previous trials in leukaemia, and indeed in most disease areas, have been too small to give reliable answers, so the large size of AML15 is essential to provide the high quality of evidence needed to guide future practice.

Even if AML15 is not large enough on its own to provide completely reliable answers to all the questions addressed, the possibility exists to undertake analyses in conjunction with other trials that have addressed similar questions (i.e. metaanalyses).

24.2 Data analysis

Interim analyses of the main endpoints will be supplied periodically, in strict confidence, to the MRC Leukaemia Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee (DMEC). In the light of these interim analyses, the DMEC will advise the chairman of the Trial Steering Committee if, in their view, one or more of randomised comparisons in the trial have provided proof beyond reasonable doubt^{*} that for all, or for some, types of patient one treatment is clearly indicated or clearly contraindicated.

The main analyses will be performed using standard contingency table and log-rank methods based on the intention to treat — i.e. **all** patients believed to be eligible at the time of randomisation will be included in the analysis, irrespective of protocol compliance, early death, etc. The randomisations — and subsidiary data analyses — will be stratified by age (0-14, 15-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60+), performance status, and type of disease (*de novo*/secondary AML). Second randomisation will also be stratified by initial allocation and by risk group. All analyses will assume that there may be some **quantitative** differences in the size of any treatment effects in these different strata, but that there is unlikely to be any **qualitative** difference (i.e. harm in one group, benefit in another).

*Appropriate criteria of proof beyond reasonable doubt cannot be specified precisely, but a difference of at least three standard deviations in an interim analysis of a major endpoint may be needed to justify halting, or modifying, a randomisation prematurely. If this criterion were to be adopted, it would have the practical advantage that the exact number of interim analyses would be of little importance, and so no precise schedule is proposed.

25. TRIAL GOVERNANCE AND ADVERSE EVENT REPORTING

Investigators have obligations described in the MRC handbook "MRC Guidelines for Good Clinical Practice in Clinical Trials". In the use of unlicensed drugs the trial is conducted under a DDX which requires the investigators to report Serious Adverse Events (SAEs). The trial will be monitored by an independent Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee. For the purposes of reporting, a **Serious Adverse Event** is defined as any **unexpected** event, which may be related to a drug, which is (a) life threatening, <u>or</u> (b) requires admission to hospital <u>or</u> (c) results in significant disability <u>or</u> (d) results in cancer. Adverse events should be intimated to a trial coordinator and documented on the generic Adult Leukaemia Working Party Serious Adverse Event Report forms which should be sent to the Trial Office.

APPENDIX A

WHO Histological Classification of acute myeloid leukaemias		
Acute myeloid leukaemia with recurrent genetic abnormalities	ICD Code	
Acute myeloid leukaemia with t(8;21)(q22;q22); (AML1(CBFa)/ETO) Acute myeloid leukaemia with abnormal bone marrow eosinophils Inv(16)(p13q22) or t(16;16)(p13;q22); (CBFß/MYHII)	9896/3 9871/3	
Acute Promyelocytic leukaemia (AML with t(15;17)(q22;q12-21), (PML/RARa) and variants.	9866/3	
Acute myeloid leukaemia with 11q23 (MLL) abnormalities	9897/3	
Acute myeloid leukaemia with multilineage dysplasia	9895/3	
Acute myeloid leukaemia and myelodysplastic syndromes, therapy-related	9920/3	
Acute myeloid leukaemia not otherwise categorised		
Acute myeloid leukaemia minimally differentiated	9872/3	
Acute myeloid leukaemia without maturation	9873/3	
Acute myeloid leukaemia with maturation	9874/3	
Acute myelomonocytic leukaemia	9867/3	
Acute monoblastic and monocytic leukaemia	9891/3	
Acute erythroid leukaemias	9840/3	
Acute megakaryoblastic leukaemia	9910/3	
Acute basophilic leukaemia	9870/3	
Acute panmyelosis with myelofibrosis	9931/3	
Myeloid sarcoma	9930/3	
Acute leukaemia of ambiguous lineage	9805/3	
Undifferentiated acute leukaemia	9801/3	
Bilineal acute leukaemia	9805/3	
Biphenotypic acute leukaemia	9805/3	

Version 2: January 2004

APPENDIX B

Preparation, Administration and Toxicity of Drugs used in AML15

Daunorubicin (Cerubidin[™] - May & Baker Ltd)

Daunorubicin is presented as a red powder in glass vials containing 20 mg with mannitol as a stabilising agent. The drug is reconstituted in sodium chloride 0.9% or water for injection. Following reconstitution, further dilution with sodium chloride 0.9% to a concentration of 1mg/ml is recommended. The resultant solution is given by a one hour infusion into a swiftly flowing drip. For hepatic dysfunction with a bilirubin $20 - 50 \mu$ mol/l reduce by 25%: bilirubin >50 μ mol/l reduce by 50%. In patients with renal impairment dose reduction should take place: Serum Creatinine $105 - 265 \mu$ mol/l, reduce dose by 25%: Creatinine >265 μ mol/l reduce by 50%. Side effects include nausea, alopecia, chronic and acute cardiac failure and dysrrhythmias. Subcutaneous extravasation may cause severe tissue necrosis.

Cytosine Arabinoside — Ara-C, Cytarabine (CytosarTM – Pharmacia & Upjohn) Cytosar is available as a freeze dried powder containing 100 mg or 500 mg of Cytosine Arabinoside in a rubber capped vial. The diluent provided in the drug pack is water for injection containing 0.9% w/v benzyl-alcohol. Following reconstitution with the manufacturer's diluent the solution contains 20 mg/ml of Cytosine Arabinoside. At this concentration it is suitable for direct intravenous bolus injection into a central or peripheral line.

Cytarabine solution is also available in a non-proprietary form from Pharmacia & Upjohn and Faulding DBL. These are presented as a 20mg/ml and 100mg/ml solutions of cytarabine in a variety of vial sizes. It is recommended that before administration by intravenous bolus injection the hypertonic 100mg/ml solution is further diluted in water for injection, sodium chloride, 0.9%, or glucose, 5% solution, to produce a solution of 20mg/ml concentration.

In patients with impaired hepatic function (bilirubin >34µmol/L) the dose should be reduced by 50% No reductions are necessary for renal impairment. Side effects at the doses prescribed for remission induction include nausea, diarrhoea, oral ulceration and hepatic dysfunction. A Cytosar syndrome has also been described. It is characterised by fever, myalgia, bone pain, occasional chest pains, maculopapular rash, conjunctivitis and malaise. It usually occurs 6-12 hours following administration, and is more common with higher doses.

Etoposide (VP16-213) (VepesidTM - Bristol-Myers Pharmaceuticals) Etoposide is available as a solution in ampoules containing 100 mg in 5 ml. The appropriate dose should be diluted in sodium chloride 0.9% for infusion. The company recommend that the infusion solution concentration does not exceed 0.25 mg/ml of etoposide.

Give over at least 30 minutes as hypotension may be produced by excessively rapid infusion.

For hepatic dysfunction with bilirubin of $26 - 51 \mu$ mol/l reduce dose by 50%.

At higher bilirubin levels the decision to administer is a clinical one. For renal dysfunction dose reduction should be: Creatinine Clearance (Cr Cl) of 60ml/min the dose should be 85%; Cr Cl of 45ml/min reduce dose by 20%; for a Cr Cl of 30ml/min reduce dose by 25%.

Side effects include tissue necrosis if extravasation should occur, nausea, mucositis and alopecia. Anaphylactic-like reactions have been reported rarely and have responded to stopping the infusion and the administration of an antihistamine and hydrocortisone.

Gemtuzumab Ozogamicin — Mylotarg[™] (CMA-676), Wyeth Genetic Institute MYLOTARG (gentuzumab ozogamicin for Injection) is supplied as an amber glass vial containing 5mg of MYLOTARG lyophilised powder. This vial should be refrigerated (2-8°C).

Preparation

The drug product is light sensitive and must be protected from direct and indirect sunlight and unshielded fluorescent light during the preparation and administration of the infusion. All preparation should take place in a biologic safety hood with the fluorescent light off. Reconstitute the contents of each vial with 5ml water for injection. Gently swirl each vial. Each vial should be inspected to ensure dissolution and for particulates. (The final concentration of drug in the vial is 1mg/ml). This solution may be stored refrigerated (2-8° C) and protected from light for up to 8 hours. (Reconstituted vials of drug should not be frozen). Before administration, withdraw the desired volume from each vial and inject into a 100ml IV bag of 0.9% Sodium Chloride Injection. Place the 100-ml IV bag into an UV protectant bag. The resulting drug solution in the IV bag may be stored for up to 16 hours at room temperature.

Administration

DO NOT ADMINISTER AS AN INTRAVENOUS PUSH OR BOLUS

Once the reconstituted MylotargTM is diluted in 100ml sodium chloride 0.9% for infusion, the resulting solution should be infused over 2 hours. Prior to infusion inspect visually for particulate matter and discoloration.

A separate IV line equipped with a low protein-binding 1.2-micron terminal filter must be used for administration of the drug (see note). Mylotarg may be given peripherally or through a central line.

Premedication, consisting of an antihistamine (such as chlorpheniramine), should be given before each infusion to reduce the incidence of a post-infusion symptom complex. Vital signs should be monitored during infusion and for four hours following infusion

Instructions for Use, Handling and for Disposal

Procedures for handling and disposal of cytotoxic drugs should be applied.

Cautions

Hepatic Insufficiency: Patients with hepatic impairment will not be included in the clinical studies.

Renal Insufficiency: Patients with renal impairment will not be included in the clinical studies.

Note

The recommended in-line filter for Mylotarg administration is a 1.2-micron polyether sulfone (PES) filter, e.g. "intrapurlipid" (Braun product number 4099702). If that filter is not available, the following filters may be used: 0.22 micron PES, 0.20 micron cellulose acetate, 0.8 to 1.2 micron cellulose acetate/cellulose nitrate (mixed ester), or 1.2 micron acrylic copolymer.

Amsacrine (Amsidine[™] - Parke Davis Co.)

Amsacrine is presented as two sterile liquids which are combined immediately prior to use. The drug ampoule contains 1.5 ml of amsacrine, a bright orange-red liquid at a concentration of 50 mg/ml. The diluent vial contains 13.5 ml of 0.0353 M L-lactic acid. When 1.5 ml (75mg) of concentrated amsacrine is added to 13.5 ml of lactic acid diluent the resulting solution **contains 5 mg/ml of amsacrine** (i.e. 75mg in 15ml).

It is recommended that preparation of the drug should be carried out using a **GLASS SYRINGE** due to possible extraction of components of rubber or certain plastic material.

The solution should be added to 500 ml of **5% dextrose** (in adults) and infused over a period of 60 – 90 minutes. **Amsacrine is incompatible with sodium chloride 0.9%.**

In patients with impaired hepatic function (bilirubin > 34μ mol/L) the dose should be reduced to 60%. If renal function is reduced (Cr Cl < 60ml/min. the dose should be reduced by 25%.

Side-effects: Some nausea and mucositis occur fairly frequently. Cardiac toxicity has been described as with anthracyclines; the risk of arrhythmias is increased by hypokalaemia. Hepatotoxicity is uncommon but is associated with a rise in serum bilirubin and alkaline phosphatase. Phlebitis may be a problem with peripheral venous access points and local necrosis is described. The risk of phlebitis can be decreased by a slow rate of infusion. The degree of alopecia is variable but sometimes severe.

Mitoxantrone (Novantrone[™] - Lederle laboratories)

Mitoxantrone is presented as a dark blue aqueous solution in vials of 20 mg, 25 mg and 30 mg (2 mg/ml) with saline and a buffer of sodium acetate and acetic acid.

The required dose should be diluted to at least 50ml in 0.9% saline or 5% dextrose. It should be injected slowly (over >5 minutes) into a fast flowing infusion of 5% dextrose or 0.9% saline. (Alternatively the solution can be diluted in at least 50ml and given by short intravenous infusion).

In hepatic dysfunction with a bilirubin > 60μ mol/L maximum dose should be $8mg/m^2$.

Side effects include tissue necrosis following extravasation outside a vein. It is probably slightly less cardiotoxic than daunorubicin but care should be taken to avoid low serum potassium levels. Anorexia, diarrhoea, stomatitis, fatigue and mild alopecia have also been described.

Idarubicin (Zavedos[™] - Pharmacia)

Idarubicin is available as a sterile pyrogen-free, orange-red freeze-dried powder, in vials containing 5 or 10 mg of idarubicin hydrochloride with 50 or 100 mg of lactose respectively.

For administration the vial contents should be dissolved in water for injection to give a solution of 1mg/ml. The resultant solution should be administered intravenously into the side arm of a freely running intravenous infusion of 0.9% sodium chloride over 5 to 10 minutes. In children Idarubicin should be given as a 1 hour infusion. In cases with hepatic dysfunction dose reduction is required: bilirubin 21 – 34μ mol/L reduce the dose by 50%. Greater rises contraindicate the administration. For renal impairment with a serum creatinine $100 - 175\mu$ mol/L reduce the dose to 50%. Administration at higher creatinine levels is a clinical decision.

Side-effects: The major side effect is myelosuppression. Cardiac toxicity may occur, manifested by cardiac failure, arrhythmias or cardiomyopathies, either during therapy or several weeks later. The cumulative dose associated with cardiotoxicity is not known, but it is believed that a total dose of 60-80 mg/m², which is considerably higher than that used in AML15, is not problematic. Idarubicin may cause a red discoloration of the urine for 1-2 days after administration. Reversible alopecia will occur, and some nausea or vomiting and oral mucositis should be expected. Elevation of liver enzymes and bilirubin may occur in a minority of patients.

Idarubicin should not be given to patients with severe renal or liver impairment.

Cyclophosphamide (EndoxanaTM – ASTA Medica)

Endoxana is available as a powder in vials containing 100 mg, 200 mg, 500 mg or 1000 mg of anhydrous cyclophosphamide and sufficient sodium chloride to render the reconstituted solution isotonic. The vial should be reconstituted with a suitable volume of water for injection to produce a 20mg/ml solution. This solution can then be administered by slow intravenous bolus injection or further diluted for infusion. The dose should be reduced in renal impairment: GFR 10 – 50ml/min reduce by 25%, with a GFR of <10ml/min the dose should be reduced by 50%.

Side-effects: Cystitis, mucositis, nausea and vomiting, and hypoglycaemia and hyperglycaemia may occur.

Fludarabine (FludaraTM - Schering-Plough)

Fludara contains 50mg fludarabine phosphate per vial. It should be given by slow intravenous infusion after dilution in 2ml water for injection.

For hepatic dysfunction no dose change is required. For renal impairment a Cr Cl of 30 - 70 ml/min requires a dose reduction of 50%; greater impairment excludes the administration.

The most frequent adverse event is myelosuppression. Patients less commonly suffer nausea, vomiting or alopecia. Fludarabine is a prolonged inhibitor of T-cells and has been associated with the development of transfusional GVHD and pneumocystis pneumonia. Rarely fludarabine has caused CNS side-effects with agitation, confusion and visual disturbance.

ATRA (Vesanoid[™] - Roche Products)

The most common adverse effect of ATRA has been headache of mild to moderate severity. Younger (paediatric) patients appear to be more sensitive to this particular effect. Bone pain, occasionally requiring analgesic treatment, has also been observed. Biochemical abnormality of liver function has occasionally been reported, specifically raised transaminases, alkaline phosphatase and bilirubin, but these are reversible on stopping the drug.

The most serious adverse event has been a syndrome characterized by fever, respiratory distress and episodic hypotension, usually in association with leucocytosis (now known as the "ATRA Syndrome"). The onset of this syndrome has usually been in the first 1-2 weeks of drug treatment. Should this occur the ATRA should be stopped. Some cases are reported to respond well to high-dose corticosteroid therapy (dexamethasone 10 mg i.v. 12 hourly for 3 or more days).

Prolonged ATRA treatment may cause dryness of the skin. ATRA is also believed to be highly teratogenic.

G-CSF- Human Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor: (Granocyte TM - rHuG-CSF, lenograstim - Chugai Pharma UK Limited) Granocyte is available as lyophilised power, each single use vial containing either 105μg of lenograstim (13.4 MIU rHuG-CSF) or 263μg of lenograstim (33.6 MIU rHuG-CSF). A pre-filled syringe of Water for Injections (1 ml) for each vial of Granocyte is provided for reconstitution before administration. Granocyte can now be stored at room temperature, up to 30°C. Dose in adults:

In autologous PBPC mobilisation:	1 vial/day sc or as per local protocol
In allogeneic PBPC mobilisation:	10μg/kg/day for 4-6 days
Post BMT:	1 vial/day sc or as per local protocol
Chemotherapy induced neutropenia:	1 vial/day sc days 1-7
In FLAG regimen:	1 vial/day sc days 1-7

Dose in children: $5\mu g/kg$ up to a maximum of 1 vial (263 μg) should be administered intravenously over 1 hour.

Bone pain and injection site reaction have been associated with Granocyte treatment in some patients.

Granocyte is distributed by Aventis UK, telephone 0870 5133347, fax: 08705 133329

Arsenic Trioxide (Trisenox^{™−}Cell Therapeutics Inc.)

Trisenox is 1mg/ml, concentrate for solution for infusion (arsenic trioxide). It is presented as a sterile, clear, aqueous solution in a single–use 10ml ampoule. ATO is a trivalent inorganic arsenical. The active substance is a white crystalline powder that is very poorly soluble in water.

Trisenox must be diluted with 100-250 ml of glucose (5%) injection or sodium chloride 9mg/ml (0.9%) injection immediately after withdrawal from the ampoule and must not be mixed with or concomitantly administered in the same intravenous line with other medicinal products.

Aseptic technique must be strictly observed throughtout the handling of Trisenox since no preservation is present.

After dilution in intravenous solutions, Trisenox is chemically and physically stable for 24 hours at 15-30° C and 48 hours at refrigerated temperatures (2-8°). From a microbiological point of view, the product must be used immediately. If not used immediately in-use storage times and conditions prior to use are the responsibility of the user and would normally not be longer than 24 hours at 2-8° C, unless dilution has taken place in controlled and validated aseptic conditions.

Trisenox is given as a slow infusion over 1-2 hours daily until bone marrow remission is achieved. The daily infusions should be given on an inpatient basis at the beginning of induction therapy, followed, when the acute symptoms of APL have resolved and the patient's condition is stable, by outpatient administration for the remaining induction and consolidation treatment period.

APPENDIX C

PROCEDURES FOR BONE MARROW TRANSPLANTATION

Pre-transplant investigations

Centres will wish to perform their own pre-transplant investigations but the following are strongly recommended because they may reveal possible contraindications for proceeding with marrow-ablative therapy.

- 1. Bone marrow aspiration to confirm remission (ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL)
- 2. Chest x-ray
- 3. ECG
- 4. MUGA scan or Echocardiogram
- 5. Lung function studies

Pre-graft ablative therapy with TBI and cyclophosphamide

The patient should receive allopurinol 300 mg/day for at least two days before the cyclophosphamide. One of the most distressing and dose-limiting side-effects of cyclophosphamide is haemorrhagic cystitis. This may be prevented by MESNA, a compound that inactivates toxic metabolites of cyclophosphamide in the bladder. Patients should also receive intensive hydration during the giving of cyclophosphamide and TBI.

Cyclophosphamide

Dosage

Cyclophosphamide is administered at a dose of 60 mg/kg for each of 2 successive days (use lean body weight for obese patients). It is dissolved in 250 ml of 5% glucose and administered over 60 min. Following the cyclophosphamide a clear 24 hours should elapse before TBI commences. The marrow is thawed and reinfused within 24 hours of completing TBI whether the TBI was given by single or multiple fractions.

MESNA

During cyclophosphamide administration MESNA is given in 4 divided doses by i.v. push at time 0 (time of commencement of cyclophosphamide), time +3 hours, and +6 and +9 hours. Each dose of MESNA is 40% of the total dose of cyclophosphamide, i.e. the total MESNA dose is 160% of the total cyclophosphamide dose. Each individual dose of MESNA must be prescribed separately and the time of administration clearly noted. The hydration regimen (up to 3l/m²/day), unless used with MESNA, is itself insufficient to prevent cystitis.

Diuresis

Adequate urine flow must be maintained before and following cyclophosphamide administration to prevent urate nephropathy and haemorrhagic cystitis. All patients should receive i.v. fluids at twice the maintenance rate beginning at 6-12 hours before the cyclophosphamide dose. This will ensure adequate hydration.

Total body irradiation

TBI procedures cannot be completely standardized throughout the UK because of constraints of machine characteristics and availability. It is recognised that many

schedules in use at present are effective and safe, but the adoption of a limited number for this study is recommended to make it possible to evaluate the significance of fractionation and lung shielding for control of leukaemia and normal tissue toxicity. This study should not obscure in any way the primary aims of the trial.

Single fraction TBI

No lung shielding 1050 cGy if the dose rate is less than 5 cGy per minute. 950 cGy if the dose rate is 5-10 cGy per minute. 750 cGy if the dose rate is more than 10 cGy per minute.

Fractionated TBI

1440 cGy in 8 fractions over 4 days, 180 cGy per fraction.

Treatment will be given using a linear accelerator or Cobalt unit operating at the SSD/FSD which gives an adequate, or the largest available, field size. The whole body dose should be defined as the maximum dose to the lung measured by thermoluminescent dosimetry or diodes over 20 minutes for single fraction treatments and for one whole fraction for fractionated treatments. Patient separations will be taken at, and calculation of dose made for, the following sites:

Lung Abdomen (at umbilicus) Pelvis

Additional measurements can be made at the discretion of the participating clinician. No lung shielding will be used and the prescribed dose will be that to the lung. Compensators may be used to give homogenous whole body dose if required: doses will then be measured under compensators. Depth dose data, built up depth and beam flatness must be determined by phantom measurement at the extended treatment distance. A central review of machine operating data and calculated doses will be undertaken.

NB: For patients with initial CNS involvement, additional cranial irradiation (3 x 200 cGy over 3 to 5 days) will be given before TBI using lateral fields encompassing the whole brain down to C2 and including the orbit with shielding of the lens. Additional radiotherapy will not be given to sites of initial bulk disease unless there is persistent extra-medullary disease in one site only which is not thought to be a contra-indication to transplantation. A dose of 1000 cGy in 5 fractions will then be given before TBI.

If you are unable to use TBI ablation please contact one of the transplant coordinators about possible alternatives.

Sedation and anti-nausea

Combinations of metoclopramide (20 mg i.v.), lorazepam (1-3 mg i.v.), ondansetron (8 mg i.v.) or other 5HT antagonists and dexamethasone (10 mg i.v.) may be used.

Prevention of infection

Specific prophylactic measures are not laid down and procedures may vary slightly from centre to centre. Infection prophylaxis is of great importance because of the difficulties in diagnosing and treating infection in immunocompromised patients.

Infusion of marrow

The marrow should be infused intravenously through a normal giving set. This may be at any time up to 24 hours following the TBI. Toxicity of the marrow infusion includes volume overload, pulmonary emboli and allergic reactions.

Other supportive care

Red cell or platelet transfusions will be necessary in the period following the graft. It is recommended that platelets be given if the peripheral platelet count is less than 20×10^9 /l. All blood products, including platelets, must be irradiated to at least 2500 cGy post transplant. CMV negative recipients should receive CMV negative blood products whenever possible.

GVHD

Prophylaxis and treatment of graft versus host disease following allo-SCT should follow the practice of the individual transplant centre.

APPENDIX D

Play performance scale for children aged 0 to 9 years

- 100 Fully active, normal.
- 90 Minor restrictions in physically strenuous activity.
- 80 Active but tires more quickly.
- 70 Both greater restriction of, and less time spent, in active play.
- 60 Up and about but minimal active play; keeps busy with quieter activities.
- 50 Gets dressed but lies around most of the day; no active play; able to participate in quiet play and activities.
- 40 Mostly in bed; participates in quiet play and activities.
- 30 In bed; needs assistance even for quiet play.
- 20 Often sleeping; play entirely limited to very passive activities.
- 10 No play; does not get out of bed; unresponsive.



AML15 Protocol Flow Chart 3

Consolidation (courses 3 and 4) for NON APL Patients

Good/standard/poor risk patients not for Allograft SCT



AML15 Protocol Flow Chart 5

Treatment for APL patients



For further Bone marrow assessments see Section 18